whom the same Writ was directed also Comes and makes Return thereof to the Court here thus Indorsed to wit

Bordley Atty Genl. — Sheriffs of prosecuting Summons — John Doe & Richard Roe — John Denn & Richard Fenn — Sworn Answers — Geo Martin Sher

Worcester County ss. Jessee Ennis by Thomas Johnson Junior his Attorney demands against George Layfield of Worcester County Gentleman all that Tract or parcel of plantable Land called Scotts Lot situate lying and being formerly in Somerset County but now in Worcester County on the South Side of Pocomoke River containing one hundred Acres with the Appurtenances as his right and Inheritance and into which the said George Layfield hath no Entry but after a Disseisin which Hugh Hunt thereof unjustly and without Judgment made to him the said Jessee Ennis within thirty Years and so forth And whereupon the said Jessee Ennis saith that he was seised of the Land aforesaid with the Appurtenances in his demesne as of fee and right in the Time of peace in the Time of the Lord the King that now is taking the profits thereof to the value of and so forth and into which and so forth and therefore he brings Suit and so forth

And the aforesaid George Layfield by Samuel Wilson his Attorney comes and defends his right when and so forth and vouchetth thereof to warranty James Houston of Worcester County planter who by Stephen Bordley his Attorney is present here in Court and freely the Land aforesaid with the Appurtenances to him the said George Layfield doth Warrant and so forth

And whereupon the said Jessee Ennis demands against the aforesaid James Houston Tenant by his Warranty the Land aforesaid with the Appurtenances in form aforesaid and so forth And Whereupon he saith that he was Seised of the Land aforesaid with the Appurtenances in his demesne as of fee and right in the Time of peace in the Time of the Lord the King that now is taking the profits thereof to the value of and so forth and into which and so forth and thereof he brings suit and so forth And the aforesaid James Houston by his Attorney aforesaid ats. comes and defends his right when and so forth and vouchetth thereof to Warranty William Rawlings who is present here in Court in his proper person and freely the Land aforesaid with the Appurtenances to him the said James Houston doth Warrant and so forth

And hereupon the said Jessee Ennis demands against him the said William Rawlings Tenant by his Warranty the Land aforesaid with the Appurtenances in form aforesaid and so forth And Whereupon he saith that he was Seized of

(the)

the Land aforesaid with the Appurtenances in his demesne as of fee and right in the Time of peace in the Time of the Lord the King that now is taking the profits thereof to the value of and so forth and into which and so forth and therefore he brings Suit and so forth

And the aforesaid William Rawlings Tenant by his Warranty comes and defends his right when and so forth and saith that the aforesaid Hugh Hunt did not disseise the aforesaid Jessee Ennis of the Land aforesaid with the Appurtenances as the aforesaid Jessee Ennis by his Writ and Declaration aforesaid above doth suppose and of this he puts himself upon the Country and so forth And the aforesaid Jessee Ennis prays leave thereof to Imparle and he hath it and so forth And afterwards the aforesaid Jessee Ennis comes again hereinto Court in this same Term by Thomas Johnson Junior his Attorney And the aforesaid William Rawlings altho solemnly called comes not but in Contempt of the Court hath departed and makes Default Therefore it is considered that the aforesaid Jessee Ennis recover his Seisin against the aforesaid George Layfield of the Land aforesaid with the Appurtenances And that the said George Layfield have of the Lands of the aforesaid James Houston to the value and so forth and that the said James Houston have of the Lands of the aforesaid William Rawlings to the value and so forth And that the said William Rawlings be in Mercy and so forth And hereupon the aforesaid Jessee Ennis prays the Writ of the Lord Proprietary to the Sheriff of Worcester County aforesaid to be directed to cause to be delivered to him full Seisin of the Land aforesaid with the Appurtenances and it is granted to him returnable here the second Tuesday of April next and so forth

Test Rawley Pinselin Cl.

Henry Smith Hawkins
against
William Courts ....

Command was given to the Sheriff of Charles County that he should Command William Courts of Charles County planter that justly and without Delay he render unto Henry Smith Hawkins All that Tract or parcel of plantable Land called Rich Hill lying and being in Charles County containing three hundred Acres with the Appurtenances and also all that Tract or parcel of plantable Land called Thomas's Addition lying and being in Charles County containing Eighty three Acres with the Appurtenances which he claimed to be his right and Inheritance and into which the said William Courts had no Entry but after a Disseisin which Hugh Hunt thereof unjustly and without Judgment had made to the aforesaid Henry Smith Hawkins within thirty Years and so forth And whereof the aforesaid Henry Smith Hawkins complained that the said William Courts had disseised

(him)