him and unless the same William Coutts did the same And the said Henry Smith Hawkins should make him secure in prosecuting his Clamour then he should summon by good Summoners the said William Coutts that he should be and appear before the Justices of his Lordships Provincial Court at Annapolis the second Tuesday of September then next and that he should have then and there that Writ &c.

At which said second Tuesday of September to wit the 11th Day of the same Month Anno Domini 1762 being the Day of the Return of the aforegoing Writ Comes the said Henry Smith Hawkins in his proper person And the Sheriff of Charles County to whom the same Writ was directed also comes and makes Return thereof to the Court here thus indorsed to wit

pledges in prosecuting John Doe & Richard Roe
Summoners John Dorn & Richard Fenn

Bondley Atty Genl

So Answers Allen Davies Sher Chas Coty

Charles County to wit Henry Smith Hawkins in his proper person Demands against William Coutts of Charles County planter All that Tract or parcel of plantable Land called Rich Hill lying and being in Charles County containing three hundred Acres with the Appurtenances and also all that Tract or parcel of plantable Land called Thomas's Addition lying and being in Charles County containing Eighty three Acres with the Appurtenances as his Right and Inheritance and into which the said William Coutts hath no Entry but a for a Disseisin which Hugh Hunt thereof unjustly and without Judgment made to him the said Henry Smith Hawkins within thirty Years and so forth

And Whereupon the said Henry Smith Hawkins saith that he was seized of the Lands aforesaid with the Appurtenances in his demesne as of Fee and Right in the Time of Peace in the Time of the Lord the King that now is taking the profits thereof to the Value of and so forth and into which and so forth and therefore he brings Suit and so forth And the aforesaid William Coutts in his proper person comes and defends his right when and so forth and Voucheth thereof to Warranty William Rawlings who is present here in Court in his proper person and freely the Lands aforesaid with the Appurtenances to him the said William Coutts doth Warrant and so forth

And Whereupon the aforesaid Henry Smith Hawkins demands against him the said William Rawlings Tenant by his Warranty the Lands aforesaid with the Appurtenances in form aforesaid and so forth

And Whereupon he saith that he was seised of the Lands aforesaid with

(the)

the Appurtenances in his Demesne as of Fee and Right in the Time of Peace in the Time of the Lord the King that now is taking the profits thereof to the Value of and so forth and into which and so forth and therefore he brings Suit and so forth And the aforesaid William Rawlings Tenant by his Warranty comes and defends his Right when and so forth and saith that the aforesaid Hugh Hunt did not disseise the aforesaid Henry Smith Hawkins of the Lands aforesaid with the Appurtenances as the aforesaid Henry Smith Hawkins by his Writ and Declaration aforesaid above doth suppose and of this he puts himself upon the Country and so forth And the aforesaid Henry Smith Hawkins prays leave thereof to imparle and he hath it and so forth And afterwards the aforesaid Henry Smith Hawkins comes again here into Court in this same Term in his proper person and the aforesaid William Rawlings altho solemnly called comes not but in Contempt of the Court hath departed and makes Default Therefore it is Considered that the aforesaid Henry Smith Hawkins recover his Seisin against the aforesaid William Coutts of the Lands aforesaid with the Appurtenances and that the said William Coutts have of the Lands of the aforesaid William Rawlings to the value and so forth And that the said William Rawlings be in Mercy and so forth And hereupon the aforesaid Henry Smith Hawkins prays the Writ of the Lord Proprietary to the Sheriff of Charles County aforesaid to be directed to cause to be delivered to him full seisin of the Lands aforesaid with the Appurtenances and it is granted to him Returnable here the second Tuesday of April next and so forth

Test Rawlings Ghiselin C.

---

x. James Wilson against William Wilson } Command was given to the Sheriff of Talbot County that he should Command William Wilson of Talbot County planter that Justly and without Delay he render unto James Wilson all that part of a Tract of plantable Land lying in the County aforesaid called Middle Spring contained within the following lines and Boundarys Beginning at a parcel of the said Tract of Land that old Henry Barrett sold and made over to Wallis Holloway deceased and running thence up the River Choptank East North East ninety perches then North West by North four hundred perches then West South West until it intersected the Land formerly Thomas Buckinghams now Thomas Lovedays and then with the same Line formerly Buckinghams now Lovedays and the said Land sold to Wallis Holloway to the Begining Containing Eighty Acres with the Appurtenances which he claimed to be his Right and Inheritance and into which the said William had

(110)