At which said next Court to wit the 11th Day of September Anno Domini 1762 come again the said parties plaintiff and Defendant by their Attorneys aforesaid And the said Defendant by his said Attorney prays further leave to imparle hereunto until next Court and he hath it the same Day is given to the plaintiff also At which said next Court to wit the 12th Day of April Anno Domini 1763 Comes again the said parties plaintiff and Defendant by their Attorneys aforesaid and the said Defendant by his said Attorney prays further leave to imparle hereunto until next Court and he hath it the same Day is given to the plaintiff also At which said next Court to wit the 13th Day of September Anno Domini 1763 come again the said parties plaintiff and Defendant by their Attorneys aforesaid and the said Defendant by his said Attorney prays further leave to imparle hereunto until next Court and he hath it the same Day is given to the plaintiff also At which said next Court to wit the 10th Day of April Anno Domini 1764 Comes again as well the said William Clark by his Attorney aforesaid as the said Thomas Ogle Junior by his Attorney aforesaid and the said Thomas Ogle Junior by his said Attorney (as before) defends the force and Injury when and so forth and saith that he is not Guilty of the premises above upon him imposed in manner and form as the aforesaid William above against him hath complained and of this he puts himself upon the Country And the aforesaid William in like manner Whereupon this Cause standing for Trial this Court at the request of both parties plaintiff and Defendant and at their request it is ordered by the Court here that the same be Referred to Messrs. James Nance, John Edwards, Monasah Lang and Ephraim Thompson or any three of them And it is ordered by the same Court that the Arbitrators aforesaid or any three of them make their Award in Writing under their Hands and Seals and return the same to this Court and Judgment to be entered according to such Award and to be final between the said parties with full power to Examine Evidences upon Oath by Consent of both parties plaintiff and Defendant Whereupon the Arbitrators aforesaid pursuant to the order aforesaid return to the Court here the following Award to wit

Mb 374 John Hunt against Peter Bayard } Maryland ss. Peter Bayard late of Cecil County Gentleman was Attached to answer unto John Hunt in a plea of Trespass upon the Case and so forth And Whereupon the said John by Stephen Bordley his Attorney complains that whereas the aforesaid Peter on the ninth Day of August one thousand seven hundred and fifty seven at Cecil County aforesaid was indebted unto him the said John in the sum of two hundred Pounds and two pence Sterling Money for Sundry Mallows properly chargeable in Account as by a particular Account thereof herewith into Court brought may appear and being so thereof indebted the aforesaid Peter afterwards to wit on the Day and Year aforesaid in Consideration thereof, upon himself assumed and to him the said John then and there faithfully promised that he the said Peter the aforesaid sum of Money to him the said John (when afterwards he should be thereunto required) would well and truly content and pay Nevertheless the aforesaid Peter his promise and Assumption aforesaid so as aforesaid made not regarding but minding and fraudulently intending him the said John in this behalf craftily and subtilly to deceive and defraud the aforesaid sum of Sterling Money or any part thereof to him the said John (altho so to do the aforesaid Peter was afterwards to wit on the Day and Year last aforesaid and often afterwards at the County aforesaid by him the said John requested) hath not contented or paid but the same or any part thereof to content or pay he hath hitherto altogether refused and still doth refuse to the Damage of him the said John in the sum of four hundred Pounds Sterling Money aforesaid and therefore he brings Suit and so forth

Pledges and so forth } John Doe et Richard Roe

(The)