General who &c. as the said Richard Riley by his Attorney aforesaid And the said Richard Riley by his said Attorney prays further leave to imparle hereunto until next Court and he hath it the same Day is given to the said Stephen Bordley Esquire his Lordships Attorney General who &c. also

At which said next Court to wit the 13th Day of April Anno Domini 1763 Comes again as well the said Stephen Bordley Esquire his Lordships Attorney General who &c. as the said Richard Riley by his Attorney aforesaid And the said Richard Riley by his said Attorney prays further leave to imparle hereunto until next Court and he hath it the same Day is given to the said Stephen Bordley Esquire his Lordships Attorney General who &c. also

At which said next Court to wit the 13th Day of September Anno Domini 1763 Comes again as well the said Stephen Bordley Esquire his Lordships Attorney General who &c. as the said Richard Riley by his Attorney And the said Richard Riley by his said Attorney prays further leave to imparle hereunto until next Court and he hath it the same Day is given to the said Stephen Bordley Esquire his Lordships Attorney General who &c. also

At which said next Court to wit the 10th Day of April Anno Domini 1764 Comes as well Edmund Key Esquire Attorney General of the Lord Proprietary that now is who for the same Lord Proprietary in this behalf prosecuteth as the said Richard Riley by his Attorney aforesaid And the said Richard Riley by his said Attorney (as before) defends the force and Injury when and so forth and prays Judgment of the Declaration aforesaid of the said Lord Proprietary because he says that the said Declaration and the matter in the same Contained are not sufficient in Law to maintain the Action of the same Lord Proprietary against him the said Richard To which said Declaration he hath no need nor by the Law of the Land is he bound to Answer and this he is ready to Verify wherefore for want of a sufficient Declaration of the said Lord Proprietary in this Behalf the said Richard prays Judgment of that Declaration and that the said Lord Proprietary may be precluded from his Action aforesaid and so forth

And the aforesaid Attorney General of the said Lord Proprietary saith that in as much as he hath alledged in his Declaration aforesaid sufficient Matter in Law for him the said Attorney General to have the Action aforesaid thereof against him the said Richard which said Declaration and the Matters therein contained he the said Richard hath not denied nor in any manner made answer

to but doth altogether refuse the Verifying thereof Therefore the said Attorney General prays Judgment and his Debt aforesaid together with his Damages occasioned by the detention thereof to be adjudged to him and so forth

Nevertheless the said Richard Riley by his Attorney aforesaid relinquishes the Avement aforesaid above by him pretended Says that he cannot deny the Action aforesaid of the Lord Proprietary so as aforesaid against him brought nor but that he detains from the said Lord Proprietary the aforesaid sum of three hundred pounds Sterling Money of Great Britain in manner and form so as the aforesaid Stephen Bordley Esquire Attorney General of the said Lord Proprietary in his Declaration aforesaid against him hath declared

Therefore it is considered by the Justices here that the said Lord Proprietary recover against the said Richard Riley as well the sum of three hundred pounds Sterling Money of Great Britain his Debt aforesaid as also the sum of

1 Hogshead 515 lbs

Tobacco by the Court here unto the said Lord Proprietary on his Assent Adjudged as well for his Damages sustained by occasion of the Detention of that Debt as for his Costs and Charges by him about his Suit in this Behalf laid out and expended And the said Defendant in Mercy &c.

Memorandum on the Back of the Writ which Issued in the aforegoing Cause at the Time of the Issuing thereof was thus Indorsed to wit Mem. This Writ is issued on William Smythers Junr. his Admr. Bond dated 7th April 1743 at the request of Jas. Graham and Rebecca his Wife of Kent County only Child of the Deceased

Test Aphiselin C.B.C.

Memorandum it is Ordered by the Court here by Consent of Parties that the Damages be Ascertained by Messrs. Dennis Dulany and Simon Wilmer Thereupon the said Dennis Dulany and Simon Wilmer Pursuant to the Order aforesaid Return to the Court here the following to wit

Lord Proprietary
vs
Richard Riley

April Term 1764

Debt Sued on William Smithers Junior's Administration Bond at the request of James Graham and Rebecca his Wife Daughter of the said William Smithers for her Share of the deceased his personal Estate

By virtue of a Rule of Court in this Action we the Subscribers having fully heard the Allegations of both Parties and duly considered the same do hereby Ascertain the Damages due on this Bond to the said James Graham and Rebecca his Wife to be Sixty five pounds fifteen shillings Current Money of Maryland besides the Costs of

Suit