September Term 1771.

Value and so forth, and into which and so forth, and therefore he brings suit & so forth

And the aforesaid William Rawlings defends his right when and so forth, and saith that the aforesaid Hugh Hunt did not disseise the aforesaid Jeremiah Townly Chase of the Lands aforesaid with the appurtenances as the same Jeremiah Townly Chase by his writ and Declaration above supposeth, and of that he puts himself upon the Country and so forth. And the aforesaid Jeremiah Townly Chase prayeth licence thereof to imparle, and he hath it and so forth, And afterwards the same Jeremiah Townly Chase cometh again hereunto Court in his proper person in this same Term, and the aforesaid William Rawlings at the solemnly called cometh not again, but in contempt of the Court hath departed and made Default —

Therefore it is considered that the aforesaid Jeremiah Townly Chase — recover his seisin against the aforesaid Benjamin Rogers and Ann his wife of the Lands aforesaid with the appurtenances, and that the same Benjamin Rogers and Ann his wife have of the Lands of the aforesaid William Rawlings to the value & so forth, and the aforesaid William Rawlings in mercy and so forth —

And thereupon the aforesaid Jeremiah Townly Chase prayeth the writ of the right Honble the Lord proprietary to the sheriff of Calvert County to be directed to cause him to have full seisin of the Tenements aforesaid with the appurtenances and to him it is granted rettble here on the second Tuesday of April next & so forth

Test. Reverdy Ghiselin Clk —

15/-

Joseph Earle a Joseph Boon.

Maryland to wit. Command was given to the sheriff of Kent County, that he should Command Joseph Boone late of Kent County aforesaid farmer, that justly and without delay he render unto Joseph Earle all that Tract or parcel of Land called Caleb's Discovery lying in Kent County aforesaid containing fifty acres with the appurtenances (which said Tract or parcel of Land was heretofore devised by a certain Caleb Beck of Kent County aforesaid unto the aforesaid Joseph Boon by the name of Joseph Bourne) which he claimed to be his right and Inheritance and into which the said Joseph Boon, had not Entry, but after the Disseisin which Hugh Hunt thereof unjustly and without Judgement made to the aforesaid Joseph Earle within thirty years now last past, as he saith, and whereof he complained that the said Joseph Boon, had deforced him, and unless he should do it, and the said Joseph Earle should secure him for prosecuting his complaint, then he should summon by good summoners the aforesaid Joseph Boon, that he be and appear before the Justices of his Lordships provincial Court, to be held for the province of Maryland, at the City of Annapolis, on the second Tuesday of September then next to shew wherefore he will not do it, And that he the said sheriff should have then and there the summoners and that writ &c

At which said second Tuesday of September being the tenth day of the same month Anno Domini seventeen hundred and seventy one, and the day of the return of the aforegoing writ, comes into our Provincial Court the aforesaid Joseph Earle

September Term 1771.

in his proper person, and the sheriff of Kent County to whom the same writ was made and directed, likewise comes and makes return thereof to the Court here thus endorsed to wit —

Pledges of Prosecution John Doe and Richard Roe

Summoners John Denn and Richard Fenn

to answers Alexr Calder Shff of Kent County

Thomas Jennings Attorney General

Maryland ss. Joseph Earle in his proper person demands against Joseph Boon, late of Kent County farmer all that Tract or parcel of Land called Caleb's Discovery, lying in Kent County aforesaid containing fifty acres (which said Tract or parcel of Land was heretofore devised by a certain Caleb Beck of Kent County aforesaid unto the aforesaid Joseph Boon by the name of Joseph Bourne) with the appurtenances thereunto belonging, as his right and Inheritance, and into which the aforesaid Joseph Boon, hath no Entry, but after the disseisin which Hugh Hunt thereof unjustly and without Judgement made to the aforesaid Joseph Earle within thirty years and so forth, And whereupon he saith that he was seised of the aforesaid Tract or parcel of Land with the appurtenances in his demesne as of fee and right in time of peace in the time of the Lord the King, that now is taking thereof the profits to the value and so forth and into which and so forth, and therefore he brings suit and so forth

And the aforesaid Joseph Boon in his proper person cometh and defendeth his right when and so forth, and vouches thereof to warranty William Rawlins who is here present in Court in his proper person, and freely the Tract or parcel of Land aforesaid with the appurtenances to him doth warrant, and so forth, And thereupon the aforesaid Joseph Earle demandeth against him the said William Rawlins Tenant by his own warranty the Tract or parcel of Land aforesaid with the appurtenances in form aforesaid, and so forth; And whereupon he saith that he was seised of the Tract or parcel of Land aforesaid with the appurtenances in his demesne as of fee and right in time of peace in the time of the Lord the King that now is taking — thereof the profits to the value and so forth, and into which and so forth, and therefore he brings suit and so forth. And the aforesaid William Rawlins Tenant by his own warranty defends his right when and so forth, and saith that the aforesaid Hugh Hunt did not disseise the aforesaid Joseph Earle of the Tract or parcel of Land aforesaid with the appurtenances as the same Joseph Earle by his writ and Declaration aforesaid above supposeth, and of that he puts himself upon the Country and so forth. And the aforesaid Joseph Earle prayeth licence thereof to imparle, and he hath it, and so forth, And afterwards the same Joseph Earle cometh again hereunto Court in this same Term in his proper person, and the aforesaid William Rawlins altho' solemnly called cometh not again, but in contempt of the Court hath departed and made Default. —

Therefore it is considered that the aforesaid Joseph Earle recover his seisin against the aforesaid Joseph Boon, of the Tract or parcel of Land aforesaid with the appurtenances, and that the same Joseph Boon, have of the Lands of the aforesaid William Rawlins to the value and so forth, and the aforesaid Joseph

Boon,