September Term 1771.

Mickle Mire, containing four hundred and eighty acres with the appurtenances all that Tract of plantable Land called Port Tunney, containing one hundred and seventy nine acres and one half acre with the appurtenances, all that Tract of plantable Land called Coventry, containing three hundred acres with the appurtenances, all that Tract of plantable Land called Jacobs and Johns Pasture, containing three hundred and forty acres with the appurtenances, and all that Tract of plantable Land called Omely's Range containing two hundred acres with the appurtenances, all lying and being in Talbot County which he claimed to be his right and Inheritance, and into which the said William and Edmund have not Entry, but after the disseisin which Hugh Hunt thereof unjustly and without Judgment made to the said Joseph, within thirty years now last past, as he saith, and whereof he complained that the said William and Edmund, deforced him, and unless they should do it, if the said Joseph should secure him for prosecuting his complaint, then summon by good summoners the aforesaid William and Edmund, that they be before the Justices of the next provincial Court to be held for the province of Maryland, at the City of Annapolis the second Tuesday of September next, to shew wherefore they will not do it, and that he the said sheriff should have there and there the summoners and that writ etc.

At which said second Tuesday of September being the tenth day of the same month Anno Domini seventeen hundred and seventy one, and the day of the return of the aforegoing writ, comes the aforesaid Joseph Earle in his proper person, and the sheriff of Talbot County to whom the same writ was made and directed, likewise comes and makes return thereof to the Court here thus endorsed –

Pledges of prosecuting John Doe, and Richard Roe
Summoners John Denn and Richard Fenn
so answers Thos. Sherwood sheriff

Thomas Jennings Attorney General.

Maryland. Talbot County to wit. Joseph Earle Gentleman in his proper person demandeth against William Fanol and Edmund Fanol all that Tract of plantable Land called Mickle Mire, containing four hundred and eighty acres with the appurtenances, all that Tract of plantable Land called Port Tunney containing one hundred and seventy nine acres and one half acre with the appurtenances, all that Tract of plantable Land called Coventry containing three hundred acres with the appurtenances, all that Tract of plantable Land called Jacob and Johns Pasture, containing three hundred and forty acres with the appurtenances, and all that Tract of plantable Land called Omely's Range, containing two hundred acres with the appurtenances, all lying and being in Talbot County, as his right and inheritance and into which the said William and Edmund have not Entry, but after the disseisin which Hugh Hunt thereof unjustly and without Judgment hath made to the said Joseph Earle within thirty years and so forth. – And whereupon the same Joseph, saith that he was seised of the Tenements (aforesaid)

September Term 1771.

aforesaid with the appurtenances in his demesne as of fee and right in time of peace in the time of our Lord the King that now is by taking the profits thereof to the value and so forth and into which and so forth, and thereof he brought suit and so forth.

And the said William and Edmund in their own proper persons, come and defend their right when and so forth, and thereupon vouch to warranty William Rawlings who is present here in his proper person, and freely warranteth to them the Tenements aforesaid with the appurtenances and so forth, And hereupon the same Joseph Earle demandeth against him the said William Rawlings tenant by his own warranty the Tenements aforesaid with the appurtenances in manner aforesaid and so forth, and whereupon he saith he was seised of the Tenements aforesaid with the appurtenances in his demesne as of fee and right in time of peace, in the time of our Lord the King that now is by taking the profits thereof to value and so forth, and into which and so forth, and thereof he brings suit and so forth. And the aforesaid William Rawlings Tenant by his own warranty defendeth his right when and so forth, and saith that the aforesaid Hugh Hunt did not disseise the aforesaid Joseph of the aforesaid Tenements with the appurtenances as the said Joseph, by his writ and Declaration aforesaid above doth suppose, and of this he puts himself upon the Country and so forth, and the said Joseph, thereupon craves leave to imparle, and he hath it and so forth, and afterwards the said Joseph, cometh again here into Court in this same Term in his proper person, and the aforesaid William Rawlings altho' solemnly called cometh not again, but departed in contempt of the Court, and maketh Default –

Therefore it is considered that the said Joseph Earle do recover his seisin against the said William Fanol and Edmund Fanol of the Tenements aforesaid with the appurtenances, and that the said William Fanol and Edmund Fanol have of the Lands of the said William Rawlings to the value and so forth, and the said William Rawlings in mercy and so forth. And hereupon the said Joseph prayeth a writ of the Lord proprietary of the province aforesaid, to be directed to the sheriff of Talbot County aforesaid, to cause full seisin of the Tenements aforesaid with the appurtenances to be delivered to him, and it is granted him returnable here the second Tuesday of April next, and so forth.

Test Reverdy Ghiselin Clk.

12.

Joseph Earle –
Elizabeth Commegys and Mary Swift

Maryland to wit. Command was given to the sheriff of Queen Anns County, that he should command Elizabeth Commegys, widow and Mary Swift spinster, that justly and without delay they render unto Joseph Earle, all that Tract of plantable Land called Crump's Advice, containing one hundred acres with the appurtenances in Queen Anns County, which he claimed to be his right and Inheritance, and into which the said Elizabeth and Mary, have not Entry, but after the disseisin which Hugh Hunt thereof unjustly and without Judgment made to the said Joseph, within thirty years now last past as he saith, and whereof he complained that the said Elizabeth (and)

Mary