and hath it, and afterwards the same Joseph Earle comes again into Court here the same term and the said William Rawlings at the solomnly called comes not, but in contempt of the Court hath departed and made default: Thereupon it is considered that the aforesaid Joseph Earle recover his seisen against the said William Freeman of the Land aforesaid with the appurtenances and that the said William Freeman have of the Lands of the said William Rawlings to the value of and so forth, and that he said William Rawlings in mercy and so forth – And upon this the said Joseph Earle prays the writ of the Lord proprietary that now is to be directed to the Sheriff of Kent County aforesaid to cause to be delivered to the said Joseph Earle full seisen of the Land aforesaid with the appurtenances, and it is granted unto him returnable the second Tuesday of April next ensuing

Test Reverdy Ghiselin Clk

14

John Henry
a
Joseph Nichols

Maryland to wit, Command was given to the Sheriff of Somerset County, that he should Cause and the Tenths and damages delay the same to John Henry Joseph Nichols, all that Tract of plantable Land lying in Somerset County called Nicholson's Lott containing one hundred acres with the appurtenances in Somerset County aforesaid which he claimed as his right and inheritance and into which the same Joseph Nichols had no entry, but after a disseisen which Hugh Hunt thereof unjustly and without Judgment made to the aforesaid John Henry within thirty years and so forth – And whereof the said John Henry complained that the said Joseph Nichols had deforced him and unless the said Joseph Nichols should do the same, and the said John Henry should make him secure in prosecuting his clamour, then summon by good summoners the said Joseph Nichols that he should be and appear before the Justices of his Lordships provincial Court at Annapolis the second Tuesday of September then next, and have you then and there that writ &c

At which said second Tuesday of September being the Eighth day of the same month Anno Domini seventeen hundred and seventy two, and the day of the return of the aforegoing writ, comes here into his Lordships provincial Court, the aforesaid John Henry in his proper person, and the Sheriff of the County aforesaid to whom the same writ was made and directed likewise comes and makes return thereof to the Court here thus endorsed to wit – Pledges of prosecuting John Doe and Richard Roe

Summoners John Denny and Richd. Dean

So answers

To which aforegoing return was annexed the following warrant of Attorney Maryland ss. Joseph Nichols of Dorchester County puts in his stead and place Thomas Jennings and James Pilchman Esqrs his attorneys jointly and severally against John Henry Junr Gent to gain or lose in a plea of land

Witness my hand and seal this twenty fifth day of August seventeen hundred and seventy two –

Joseph Nichols (Seal)

Taken and acknowledged on the day and year aforesaid – before Wm. Hayward

Maryland to wit. John Henry Junior in his proper person demands against Joseph Nichols all that Tract of plantable Land lying in Somerset County called Nicholson's Lott containing one hundred acres with the appurtenances in Somerset County aforesaid as his right and inheritance and into which the same Joseph hath no entry unless after a disseisen which Hugh Hunt thereof unjustly and without Judgment made to the said John within thirty years now last past – and whereupon he saith that he was seised of the Land aforesaid with the appurtenances in his demesne as of fee and right in the time of peace in the time of the Lord the King that now is by taking thereof the profits to the value of and so forth, and into which and so forth, and thereupon he brings suit and so forth

And the said Joseph by Thomas Jennings his Attorney comes and defends his right when and so forth, and thereupon vouchs to warranty William Rawlings who is present here in Court in his proper person and freely warranteth to him the Land aforesaid with the appurtenances, and thereupon the aforesaid John demandeth against the aforesaid William Rawlings Tenant by his own warranty the Land aforesaid with the appurtenances in form aforesaid and so forth: and whereupon he saith that he was seised of the Land aforesaid with the appurtenances in his demesne as of fee and right in the time of peace in the time of the Lord the King that now is by taking the profits thereof to the value of and so forth and into which and so forth, and thereupon he brings suit and so forth

And the aforesaid William Rawlings Tenant by his own warranty in his proper person comes and defends his right when and so forth, and saith that the aforesaid Hugh Hunt did not disseise the said John of the Land aforesaid with the appurtenances as the said John by his writ and Declaration aforesaid hath above supposed, and of this he puts himself upon the Country &c

And the said John prays leave thereof to imparle and hath it, and afterwards the same John comes again into Court here the same term, and the same William Rawlings although solemnly called comes not, but in contempt of the Court hath departed and made default: Therefore it is considered that the aforesaid John do recover his seisen against the aforesaid Joseph of the Land aforesaid with the appurtenances, and that the said Joseph have view of the Land of the said William Rawlings to the value of and so forth, and that the said William Rawlings be in mercy and so forth. And upon this the said John prays the writ of the Lord proprietary that now is to be directed to the Sheriff of Somerset County aforesaid to be delivered to the said John full seisen of the Land aforesaid with the appurtenances and it is granted to him returnable the second Tuesday of April next

Test Reverdy Ghiselin Clk

9