Ch. Hall — Land Groome

This being an action of the Case upon the Case wherein the said Land a Writ of Attachment was sued out against the said Defendant, and the said Defendant appeared upon the said Attachment and Copy of the Writ annexed with the same, But there is no return made of the said, and the said action is noted abated by Death Dyed.

Ch. John Vigilar — Philip Gualich

The Plaintiff in this action sued out his Writ of Trespass against the said Philip Gualich on a Judgment rendred the Fourteenth day of July 1711 in the Court of Chancery for the well the sum of Twelve pounds One shilling and Eleven Damages, as also the sum of Seven pounds Costs of Suit, in Consideration whereof the said Defendant appeared Nihil dicit.

Ch. Hall — Dame Denwood and Land Groome — Lower Denwood

This being an action of Trespass upon the Case wherein the said Plaintiff Dame Denwood and Land Groome by their Attorney in the said action mentioned in the Writ and within the Jurisdiction of this Court, Made return of the Writ in the said action mentioned, By virtue of the within Writ to them directed, Not to be found within their Bailiwick, Wherefore they Command the said Defendant Lower Denwood to be Attached to answer the said Plaintiff in a Plea of Trespass upon the Case, Wherefore the said Defendant appeared in the said action in Court.

Ye Lord Baltimore — Thos Lentham

The King an action of Trespass upon the Case wherein the said Lord Baltimore Made return of the Writ in the said action mentioned in the said Court, Wherefore the said Defendant Thos Lentham appeared in Court.

Mr James Barrett — John Rodes

This King an action of Debt for the sum of Twenty four thousand five hundred Twenty five pounds of Tobacco wherein the said Plaintiff Made return of the Writ in the said action mentioned, Wherefore the said Defendant appeared in Court.

Ch. Hall — Dame Denwood and Land Groome — Lower Denwood

This being an action of Trespass upon the Case wherein the said Plaintiff Dame Denwood and Land Groome by their Attorney in the said action mentioned in the said Writ and within the Jurisdiction of this Court, Made return of the Writ to them directed, By virtue of the within Writ to them directed, Not to be found within their Bailiwick, Wherefore they Command the said Defendant Lower Denwood to be Attached to answer the said Plaintiff in a Plea of Trespass upon the Case, Wherefore the said Defendant appeared in the said action in Court.

Ch. Hall — Dame Denwood and Land Groome — Lower Denwood

This being an action of Trespass upon the Case wherein the said Plaintiff Dame Denwood and Land Groome by their Attorney in the said action mentioned in the said Writ and within the Jurisdiction of this Court, Made return of the Writ to them directed, By virtue of the within Writ to them directed, Not to be found within their Bailiwick, Wherefore they Command the said Defendant Lower Denwood to be Attached to answer the said Plaintiff in a Plea of Trespass upon the Case, Wherefore the said Defendant appeared in the said action in Court.

Ye Lord Baltimore — Thos Lentham

The King an action of Trespass upon the Case wherein the said Lord Baltimore Made return of the Writ in the said action mentioned in the said Court, Wherefore the said Defendant Thos Lentham appeared in Court.

Mr James Barrett — John Rodes

This King an action of Debt for the sum of Twenty four thousand five hundred Twenty five pounds of Tobacco wherein the said Plaintiff Made return of the Writ in the said action mentioned, Wherefore the said Defendant appeared