

File No. 7264 Continued.

OPINION.

lating the relations of the Inspector of Buildings to the work of the Sewerage Commission.

5483

In my opinion these provisions must be read into the Sewerage Act, though they must be harmonized with the power given by that Act to the Commission to erect a pumping station and to employ an architect in connection with the work of constructing it. So harmonized, they permit the employment of such an architect, but the architect is subject to the supervision and direction of the Inspector of Buildings to the extent that the latter is charged with the duty of seeing to it

5484

that the pumping station is erected in compliance with the building laws and the provisions of the specifications. In other words the only effect of the Sewerage Act in its relations to the Inspector of Buildings is to interpose another agency, viz, that of the architect, between him and the Work of Construction but this agency like all others associated with the work of construction is subject to the control of the Inspector of Buildings for the purposes above mentioned. The specifications in this case are not before me, but, if I am not mistaken, they will be found in keeping with this opinion. Just as it was the duty of the architect to submit his drawings and specifications to the Inspector of Buildings for his approval, so it is now his duty to proceed with the work of construction, subject to the supervision and direction of the Inspector of Buildings in every respect calling for this supervision and direction, as above explained.

I will add however, that I see no occasion for any alteration in the specifications, and I hope that Mr. Preston will reach the same conclusion when he reads this opinion.

Truly yours,

(Signed) W. Cabell Bruce,

City Solicitor.