

File No. 8607 Continued.

OPINION.

6499

former ordinance having been vetoed by the Mayor, interested persons might well be lulled into the idea that the project has been abandoned and thereby be misled to their prejudice. In my judgment, it is essential to the notice to which they are entitled under the City Charter that the revival of the project should be evidenced by a fresh compliance, from beginning, with the provisions of the City Charter, relative to the introduction of ordinances for the condemnation and closure of public highways.

Truly yours,

(Signed) W. Cabell Bruce,
City Solicitor.

.....

File No. 8614.

CORRESPONDENCE.

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE
OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER
CITY HALL.

Baltimore, August 11th, 1908.

W. Cabell Bruce, Esq.,
City Solicitor,
City.

Dear Sir:-

6500

I herewith enclose you bills of the City against Mr. John L. Robertson, for repairs made to pavements, amounting to \$6,269.09. As you will notice, they extend back to 1906, and I understand the City Engineer has forwarded some of these to you for collection.

The final payment to Mr. Robertson on his city contract for the paving of Twenty-second street, amounting to \$13,101.55 is due, and it seems proper to me that before paying the same, these bills should be