

File No. 8638 Continued.

CORRESPONDENCE.

gested by Mr. Reddington, laid, but I fear that I may not legally grant the permit. There is an ordinance which provides that where any improved paving is done on a street it must be done for an entire block, and the anti-cobble stone ordinance prohibits paving being done on a street, except under a contract with this Department, or by days' labor employed by this Department.

As I understand existing ordinances, this very desirable piece of work could not be done, except by special ordinance of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore.

Will you kindly advise if I am right so that I may answer Mr. Reddington's communication.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) B. T. Fendall,

City Engineer.

Re 500 Block S
Eutaw Street.

File No. 8638.

OPINION.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore, August 21, 1908.

B. T. Fendall, Esq.,

City Engineer.

Dear Sir:-

I reply to your letter of the 20th instant, with regard to the proposed paving by the C. D. Kenny Company, at its expense, of a portion of South Eutaw Street, in front of their warehouse property Nos. 520-522 and 524.

6513

The one block limitation to which you refer is the limitation, I suppose, prescribed by Section 73 of Article 35, of Pielert's Compilation. This Section relates only to the Annex.

Section 45 of Article 48, of the City Code of 1893, provides for the grading, paving, &c., of streets by owners of property, under certain conditions. This Section, and the two preceding Sections bearing upon the same subject, do not appear to be reproduced in Pielert's Compilation, but as that Compilation has not been legalized, or made