

File No. 8688 Continued.

OPINION.

6535

It is ordinarily customary where paving is done at the instance of property owners, to consult the wishes of the property owners, and if you have a case in point, I would suggest that you comply with any reasonable request of the property owners in the matter. In determining the majority of front feet bordering on any street, you take both sides of the street. It may be that the majority of front feet thus ascertained is owned by one individual, but that makes no difference. The application however, must be made by the owner or owners of an actual majority of front feet as thus ascertained.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) Edgar Allan Poe,
City Solicitor.

File No. 8688.

CORRESPONDENCE.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY
SUB-DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH.

Baltimore, September 8, 1908.

Mr. Edgar Allan Poe,
City Solicitor,
City.

Dear Sir:-

6536

I beg to acknowledge your favor of September 3rd, in reply to my communication of September 2nd, relative to Section 833 of the City Charter.

I probably did not make myself clearly understood in my former letter. So far as this Department is concerned we have not attempted to direct the character of the paving that should be put down in the alley. The question was brought to us by the owners of one side of the alley, who were blocked in their efforts to obtain the best paving for the alley by the man who owns property on the other side of the alley. I told them that we could not determine the matter ourselves, but we knew that the owners of the majority of lineal