

File No. 8696 Continued.

OPINION.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore, September 9, 1908.

B. T. Pendall, Esq.,

City Engineer.

Dear Sir:-

6550

I am in receipt of your letter, the letter from Mr. R. W. Baldwin to yourself and a copy of your reply. If you are proceeding in accordance with the ordinances of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore I think your letter to Mr. Baldwin is entirely correct and no reason exists why you should desist from the work referred to in your letter.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) Sylvan Hayes Lauchheimer,

Assistant City Solicitor.

File No. 8696.

LAW DEPARTMENT.

Baltimore, September 11, 1908.

A. S. Goldsborough, Esq.,

Mayor's Secretary.

Dear Sir:-

6551

I return the enclosed papers in reference to Mr. R. W. Baldwin. The City Engineer communicated with this Department in reference thereto, and I advised him, that if he was proceeding in accordance with the Ordinance of the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore, he need not desist therefrom, because of anything stated in the letter of Mr. Baldwin.

Under the Police power, the City has the right to order a nuisance to be removed from private property, and the grading, curbing and paving in this case is being done by the City Engineer by order of the Commissioner of Health, in order to abate a nuisance.

Very truly yours,

(Signed) Sylvan Hayes Lauchheimer,

Assistant City Solicitor.