

File No. 26050 Continued.

in question.

It has been suggested that these Ordinances are not valid because the franchise granted to the United Railways permits it to lay tracks on certain streets in Baltimore City contrary to the provisions of certain local laws passed by the legislature prohibiting this. I have heretofore advised you that a local law, not a part of the Charter, can be amended by ordinance of the Mayor and City Council pursuant to Article 11-A of the Constitution. I, therefore, advise that there is no legal reason why you should not sign the Ordinance after the receipt of the letter from the United Railways, as suggested above.

Very truly yours,
(Signed) Roland R. Marchant,
City Solicitor

.....

File No. 26014

Baltimore, February 14, 1920.

Roland R. Marchant, Esq.,
City Solicitor.

Dear Sir:

I have before me a communication of Mr. George A. Solter addressed to Hon. Peter E. Tome, Comptroller, relating to the question regarding the right of Mr. Bernhard Faistenhamer, whose business address is 437 Forrest Street, to use that portion of Forrest street, included within the limits of Belair Market, during market hours for the purpose of having access for his teams to the above premises.

13497