June Term 1821

Which being read and heard and the surities in the bond being by the Court here approved. it is therefore ordered by the said court here that the record and proceedings in the plea aforesaid with all things thereunto relating be transmitted to the said high court of Appeals of the said State and the same are transmitted to the said court accordingly

(Memorandam

Judgment rendered in this cause on the 13th day of November 1820 for the penalty of the bond and costs of suit and the plaintiff agrees to release the penalty upon payment of fourteen hundred and eight dollars thirty seven and a half cents current money with Interest thereon from the thirteenth November Eighteen hundred and twenty until paid and seven dollars forty eight and one third cents costs and the plaintiff agrees to release all but the costs if the Judgment against Alexius Boone be satisfied

Maryland Frederick County to wit

I hereby certify that the aforegoing is truly copied from the record and proceedings of Frederick County Court in the State of Maryland

Seals Place

In Testimony whereof I hereunto set my hand and affix the seal of Frederick County Court this thirty first day of March eighteen hundred and twenty one

Test John Schley Clerk
Fredk County Court

And now comes into the court of Appeals here, aswell the said William R King by John Nelson his attorney, as the said Sloyd Noland administrator as aforesaid by Roger B Taney his attorney; and thereupon, on motion of the said Sloyd Noland as aforesaid by his attorney aforesaid, it is ruled by the court here, that the said William R King assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the Judgment aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the court of appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or Judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof. And the said William R King by his attorney aforesaid, says, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of Judgment

June Term 1821

aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the Judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Sloyd Noland administrator as aforesaid against the said William R King when by the law of the land that Judgment ought to have been given for the said William R King against the said Sloyd Noland administrator as aforesaid therefore in that there is manifest error; and he prays that the judgment aforesaid for that error and others, being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled, and held entirely as void, and that he the said William R King may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said Judgment, and that the said Sloyd Noland administrator as aforesaid may rejoin to the errors aforesaid, and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the court of Appeals here, that the said Sloyd Noland administrator as aforesaid join in the errors by the said William R King above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or Judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against him in default thereof. And the said Sloyd Noland administrator as aforesaid by his attorney aforesaid, prays that a day may be given to him to join in the errors by the said William R King above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the first Monday in December next; and to him it is granted; the same day is also given to the said William R King at which said first Monday in December being the third day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty one which said day was given to the said Sloyd Noland administrator as aforesaid to join in the errors by the said William R King above assigned, come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid; and the said Sloyd Noland administrator as aforesaid by his attorney aforesaid, says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Judgment aforesaid, and he prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid by the said William R King above for error assigned, and that the said Judgment may be in all things affirmed, and so forth. But because the court of Appeals here are not advised what Judgment