John Boyer before me the Subscriber one of the associate Judges of the County Courts for the fifth Judicial district of Maryland and acknowledged the within instrument of writing to be his act and deed and the tract or parcel of land and premises thereby granted to be the right and estate of the within named Matthias Bartgis his heirs and assigns forever, according to the true intent and meaning of the within deed and the acts of Assembly in such case made and provided And now at the same time came also Marianne Boyer wife of the said John Boyer before me the subscriber one of the associate judges as aforesaid, & acknowledged the within instrument of writing to be her act and deed and the tract or parcel of land & premises thereby granted to be the right & estate of the within named Matthias Bartgis his heirs and assigns forever according to the true intent and meaning thereof And she the said Mari anne Boyer being by me privately examined apart from and out of the presence and hearing of her husband whether she doth make her acknowledgement of the same willingly and freely and without being induced thereto by fear or threats of, or ill usage by her husband or fear of his displeasure acknowledges that she doth make her acknowledgement of the same willingly and freely & without being induced thereto by fear or threats of or ill usage by her husband or fear of his displeasure. Acknowledged before

Abm Shriver

Maryland, Frederick County (to wit)
In Testimony that the foregoing is truly taken from Liber W. R. No 27 folio 619. 620 and 621 one of the land records of Frederick County aforesaid.

(Frederick county court) I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed the seal of my office this 27th day of May 1819

John Schley clk
Fredk Cty Court

Whereupon the court passed the following order (to wit)

Francis Mantz vs Matthias Bartgis and John Beyer | In Frederick County Court sitting as a Court of Chancery - The object of the Bill in this case is to obtain a Decree for the sale of land conveyed to Francis Mantz the complainant by John Beyer one of the defendants, in order to

satisfy a debt due to the complainant from the defendant by his Bill obligatory for one hundred and forty one pounds eight shillings and ten pence, bearing date June 19th 1817 and payable nine months after date. To secure among other things the payment of which debt the said conveyance as the Bill alledges was made. The Bill states that the sum of £43 12 7½ has been paid by Matthias Bartgis on account of interest due on the said Bill obligatory and that the whole principal amount of said Bill obligatory and the rest due of the interest is still due & unpaid and that John Beyer after the conveyance herein before mentioned conveyd the said land to the other defendant Matthias Bartgis. The Bill further states that the said Beyer some time after the sale to Bartgis removed to the State of Tennessee, where he now resides. It is thereupon adjudged & ordered that the complainant, by causing a copy of this order to be inserted for three successive weeks in some one of the news papers of Frederick before the first day of July next, give notice to the absent defendants, to be and appear in this Court on or before the tenth day of November next, to shew cause if any he hath why a decree should not pass as prayed.

Abm Shriver

Thereupon (27 May 1819) Subpoena issued, agreeably to the prayer of the said Bill, returnable to the next Term, at which next Term came the Sheriff and made return of the said Subpoena thus endorsed "Summoned". And the said cause so standing continues until March Term 1821. Came Jos M Palmer Esquire counsel for Matthias Bartgis and appeared to the said Bill & filed in Court his answer in the words following (to wit)

The answer of Matthias Bartgis to the bill of complaint of Francis Mantz in Frederick County Court sitting as a Court of Equity — This Defendant now and at all times hereafter saving and reserving to himself all and all manner of benefit and advantage of exception to the manifold uncertainties and imperfections in the complainants said Bill of complaint contains for answer thereunto or unto so much thereof as materially concerns this defendant to make answer unto he answereth and saith, that as to the money due to the said Mantz from the said John Boyer, by Bill obligatory as stated in the said bill of complaint he