of 150 pr from the place of beginning, beginning at the letter A and running as per table courses No 7.

For the plaintiff to august term 1819.

I certify at the instance of the plaintiff in presence of the sheriff of Harford County I have located Coolys fishery from the end of the first line of Rupulla at B to figure 12 returning with the shore of the Susquehannah river to 13. 14 to B.

I have also located the deed from Martin Mitchell to abraham Jarrett bearing date on the 23 day of May 1816 on Coolys fishery and the tract of land called Stone quarry.

I have also located the division line of Rupulla from the letter C to D proven by Bennett Barnes and Benjamin Ward at the letter H

For the defendant to august 1819.

I certify at the instance of the Defendant in presence of the sheriff aforesaid I have located the possession of John Cooley and those whom he claimed under to the fish house and fishery called Coolys fishery at black dot near F proved by Samuel Miller and Henry Miller F.

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said Simon Peaceable lipes as aforesaid by Alexander C Magruder his attorney as the said Charles Cooley and Carvil Cooley by Stevenson Archer their attorney and thereupon on motion of the said Charles Cooley and Carvil Cooley by their attorney aforesaid it is ruled by the Court here that the said Simon Peaceable lipes as aforesaid assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid or in the giving the judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid brought before the Court of appeals here for correcting the errors supposed to be therein or judgment by the Court of appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof: And the said Simon Peaceable lipes as aforesaid by his attorney aforesaid says that in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid there is manifest error in this to wit that by the record aforesaid it appears that the judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given was given for the said Charles Cooley and Carvil Cooley against the said Simon Peaceable lipes as aforesaid when by the law of the land that judgment ought to have been given for the said Simon Peaceable lipes as aforesaid against the said Charles Cooley and Carvil Cooley therefore in that there is manifest error and he prays that the judgment aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled and held entirely as void and that he the said Simon Peaceable lipes as aforesaid may be restored to all things which

he hath lost by occasion of the said judgment and that the said Charles Cooley and Carvil Cooley may rejoin to the errors and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here that the said Charles Cooley and Carvil Cooley join in the errors by the said Simon Peaceable lipes as aforesaid above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against them in default thereof. And the said Charles Cooley and Carvil Cooley by their attorney aforesaid pray that a day may be given to them to join in the errors by the said Simon Peaceable lipes as aforesaid above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid until the first monday in December next and to them it is granted the same day is also given to the said Simon Peaceable lipes as aforesaid.

At which said first monday in December being the third day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty one which said day was given to the said Charles Cooley and Carvil Cooley to join in the errors by the said Simon Peaceable lipes as aforesaid above assigned come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid and the said Charles Cooley and Carvil Cooley by their attorney aforesaid say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid and they pray that the Court of appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said Simon Peaceable lipes as aforesaid above for error assigned and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth. But because the Court of appeals here are not advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid before the Court of appeals here until the second monday in June next to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here of and upon the premises for that the Court now here thereof are not advised and so forth.

At which said second monday in June being the tenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty two come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid: But because the Court of appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid with the consent of the said parties by their attornies aforesaid to come before the Court of appeals here on the first monday in December next to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here of and upon the premises for that the Court now here as yet are not &c.

At which said first monday in December being the second day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty two come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid: Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of appeals here until the