Nicholas Brewer for their attorneys and thereupon on motion of the said appellees by their attorneis aforesaid it is ruled by the Court here that the said appellants assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid or in the giving the decree aforesaid so as aforesaid brought before the Court of appeals here for correcting the errors supposed to be therein or judgment by the Court of appeals here will be entered against them in default thereof. And the said appellants by their attorney aforesaid say that in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of the decree aforesaid there is manifest error in this to wit that by the record aforesaid it appears that the decree aforesaid was given for the said appellees against the said appellants when by the law of the land and in equity and good conscience that decree ought to have been given for the said appellants against the said appellees therefore in that there is manifest error and they pray that the decree aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled and held entirely as void and that they the said appellants may be restored to all things which they have lost by occasion of the said decree and that the said appellees may rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of appeals here that the said appellees join in the errors by the said appellants above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid or judgment by the Court of appeals here will be rendered against them in default thereof, and the said appellees by their attorneis aforesaid say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid and they pray that the Court of appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said appellants above for error assigned and that the said decree may be in all things affirmed and so forth, thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of appeals here until the second monday in June next the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

At which said second monday in June being the tenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty two come again into the Court of appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneis aforesaid. But because the Court of appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of

and upon the premises a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid with the consent of the said parties by their attorneis aforesaid to come before the Court of appeals here on the first monday in December next to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here of and upon the premises for that the Court now here as yet are not &c.

At which said first monday in December being the second day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty two come again into the Court of appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneis aforesaid Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of appeals here until the second monday in June next the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

At which said second monday in June being the ninth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty three come again into the Court of appeals here as well the said appellants by Roger B Taney and John Scott their attorneis as the said appellees by their attorneis aforesaid. But because the Court of appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid with the consent of the said parties by their attorneis aforesaid before the Court of appeals here until the first monday in December next to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here of and upon the premises for that the Court now here as yet are not &c.

At which said first monday in December being the first day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty three come again into the Court of appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneis aforesaid. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of appeals here until the second monday in June next the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

And now at this day to wit the said second monday in June being the fourteenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty four come again into the Court of appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneis aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the decree given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said appellants above for error assigned being seen and by the Court of appeals here fully understood and mature deliberation thereupon had for that it appears to the Court of appeals here that there is manifest error in the record