to the great damage of the said augustine Gambrill and Stevens Gambrill as of their complaint we have received. and we being willing ~~that if~~ error if any) there be should be duly corrected and full and speedy justice done to the said augustine Gambrill and Stevens Gambrill in this behalf Commaind you that if judgment be thereof rendered that then the record and process aforesaid with all things thereunto relating under your seals distinctly and openly you send and this writ so that the same may be had before the Judges of our High Court of appeals at the City of annapolis on the first monday of December next that the record and process aforesaid being inspected into we may further do therein for correcting that error which of right and according to the laws and customs of this State may be done Witness the Honourable John Johnson Chancellor this fourteenth day of June eighteen hundred and twenty two.

Test Ramsay Waters Reg Cur Can

In pursuance whereof and according to the form and effect of the act of assembly in such case made and provided a transcript of the record of proceedings of the judgment aforesaid and all things thereunto relating with the writ of error aforesaid annexed is hereby transmitted to the said Court of appeals Test Wm S Green clk

Maryland set.

I hereby certify that the aforegoing is truly taken from the Record of proceedings of the County Court for anne arundel County.

In Testimony whereof I hereto subscribe my name and affix the seal of anne arundel County Court this fourteenth day of June in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty two and in the forty sixth year of the Independence of United States of America Wm S Green clk aa Coty Ct

(Seal)

And now come into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Augustine Gambrill and Stevens Gambrill by William H Marriott their attorney as the said Nicholas Watkins of Thomas by James Shaw his attorney and thereupon on motion of the said Nicholas Watkins of Thomas by his attorney aforesaid It is ruled by the Court here that the said Augustine Gambrill and Stevens Gambrill assign the error in the record of proceedings aforesaid or in the giving the judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid brought before the Court of appeals here for correcting the errors supposed to be therein or judgment by the Court of appeals here will be entered against them in default thereof. And the said Augustin Gambrill and Stevens Gambrill by their attorney aforesaid say that in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid there is manifest error in this to wit that by the record aforesaid

It appears that the judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given was given for the said Nicholas Watkins of Thomas against the said augustine Gambrill and Stevens Gambrill when by the law of the land that judgment ought to have been given for the said augustin Gambrill and Stevens Gambrill against the said Nicholas Watkins of Thomas therefore in that there is manifest error and they pray that the judgment aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled and held entirely as void and that they the said augustine Gambrill and Stevens Gambrill may be restored to all things which they have lost by occasion of the said judgment and that the said Nicholas Watkins of Thomas may rejoin to the error and so forth. And thereupon It is ruled by the Court of appeals here that the said Nicholas Watkins of Thomas join in the error by the said augustine Gambrill and Stevens Gambrill above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid or judgment by the Court of appeals here will be rendered against him in default thereof. and the said Nicholas Watkins of Thomas by his attorney aforesaid says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid and he prays that the Court of appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said augustine Gambrill and Stevens Gambrill above for error assigned and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth thereupon further process of and upon the premiss between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of appeals here until the second monday in June next the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here of and upon the said premiss and so forth.

And now at this day towit, the said second monday in June being the ninth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty three come again into the Court of appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid and the said Nicholas Watkins of Thomas by his attorney aforesaid offers himself against the said Augustine Gambrill and Stevens Gambrill of and upon the premiss and prays that the Court of appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters above for error assigned and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth. and for as much as the said Augustin Gambrill and Stevens Gambrill do not allege to the Court of appeals here any matter or thing for the reversal of the judgment aforesaid nor insist upon the matters aforesaid by them above for error assigned. Therefore it is considered by the Court of appeals here that the judgment aforesaid in form aforesaid given be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect the said causes above for error assigned in any wise notwithstanding and it is further considered by the Court of appeals here that the said Nicholas Watkins of Thomas have execution against the said Augustin Gambrill and Stevens Gambrill as well for the damages costs and charges ~~here~~ aforesaid so as aforesaid adjudged unto him in the said County Court of anne arundel as also for the sum of ten dollars and twenty two cents adjudged unto him by the Court of appeals here on his appeal for his costs