Edmund Plowden shall not pursue the directions of the act of assembly entitled an act for regulating writs of error and granting appeals from and to the Courts of common law of this province at the next Court of appeals to be held for the Western shore and prosecute the same appeal with effect and also satisfy and pay unto the said Samuel Maddox John de Briscoe and Francis Neale their executors administrators or assigns in case the said judgment shall be affirmed as well all and singular the debt damages and costs adjudged by the County Court aforesaid as also all costs and damages that shall be awarded by the said Court of appeals then this bond to be and remain in full force and virtue otherwise of no effect

signed sealed and delivered in presence of Edward Joy

Edm^d Plowden (Seal)
Enoch Comby (Seal)
W^m H Plowden (Seal)

Which being read and heard and the securities in the said bond being by an associate judge the second day of July eighteen hundred and twenty two approved It is therefore ordered by the said judge that the record and proceedings aforesaid in the plea aforesaid with all things thereunto relating be transmitted to the said Court of appeals to be held for the Western shore and the same are transmitted to the said Court accordingly.

Test Jo Harris clk

Saint Marys County towit:

I hereby certify that the aforegoing is truly taken from the record of proceedings of Saint Marys County Court.

In Testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of my office this twenty fifth day of September in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty two. (Seal)

Jo Harris clk St Marys County Court.

And now come into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Edmund Plowden by Gerard A Cassin his attorney as the said Samuel Maddox John de Briscoe and Francis Neale by Raphael Neale their attorney and thereupon on motion of the said Samuel Maddox John de Briscoe and Francis Neale by their attorney aforesaid It is ruled by the Court here that the said Edmund Plowden assign the error in the record of proceedings aforesaid or in the giving the judgment aforesaid so aforesaid brought before the Court of appeals here for correcting the error supposed to be therein or judgment by the Court of appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof and the said Edmund Plowden by his attorney aforesaid says that in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid there is manifest error in this towit that by the record aforesaid it appears that the judgment

aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given was given for the said Samuel Maddox John de Briscoe and Francis Neale against the said Edmund Plowden when by the law of the land that judgment ought to have been given for the said Edmund Plowden against the said Samuel Maddox John de Briscoe and Francis Neale therefore in that there is manifest error and he prays that the judgment aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled and held entirely as void and that he the said Edmund Plowden may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said judgment and that the said Samuel Maddox John de Briscoe and Francis Neale may rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of appeals here that the said Samuel Maddox John de Briscoe and Francis Neale join in the errors by the said Edmund Plowden above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid or judgment by the Court of appeals here will be rendered against them in default thereof. and the said Samuel Maddox John de Briscoe and Francis Neale by their attorney aforesaid say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid and they pray that the Court of appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said Edmund Plowden above for error assigned and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of appeals here until the second monday in June next the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

And now at this day towit the said second monday in June being the ninth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty three come again into the Court of appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid and the said Samuel Maddox John de Briscoe and Francis Neale by their attorney aforesaid offer themselves against the said Edmund Plowden of and upon the premises and pray that the Court of appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters above for error assigned and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth and for as much as the said Edmund Plowden doth not allege to the Court of appeals here any matter or thing for the reversal of the judgment aforesaid nor insist upon the matters aforesaid by him above for error assigned. Therefore it is considered by the Court of appeals here that the judgment aforesaid in form aforesaid given be in all things affirmed and stands in full force and effect the said causes above for error assigned in any wise notwithstanding and it is further considered by the Court of appeals here that the said Samuel Maddox John de Briscoe and Francis Neale