These respondents further jointly and generally answer and say that they are informed and believe the said William Lux departed this life on or about the twentieth day of February in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and seventy seven leaving his wife Agnes at that time in full life and that she afterwards departed this life on or about the day of in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and and that immediately after the death of the said William Lux the said Daniel Bowly having entered upon the ropewalk and the land adjoining so devised to him the said ropewalk was carried on for a considerable length of time by the said Daniel Bowly and the said George Lux whom the said Daniel took into partnership with him in that business and during that time the said ropewalk was carried on by the said Daniel Bowly and George Lux in the same manner as it had been before by the said William Lux and Daniel Bowly. These respondents further jointly and severally answering say that they admit that the said George Lux having arrived at full age did on the seventeenth day of April in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred eighty seven duly make and execute to the said William Rupell a deed duly acknowledged and recorded which they presume to be the same mentioned by the complainants in their said Bill but they say that the said deed purports only to convey such parts of Chatsworth of which he was seised in fee and which he had not granted or conveyed away and did not nor could it operate to convey to the said William Rupell any part of the said five acres of ground so devised to the said Daniel Bowly or any interest therein nor have they any reason to believe that the said George Lux intended it should. These respondents further answering jointly and severally say that they are informed and believe when the said William Lux died the said Harry Dorsey Gough was possessed of a bond given to him by the said William Rupell and William Lux for the payment of one hundred pounds sterling and dated on the said twelfth day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand seven hundred and sixty nine which sum they are informed and believe to have been the proper debts of the said William Rupell and that the said William Lux was only his security. and also that the said Harry Dorsey Gough was at that time possessed of another bond given by the said William Lux and Daniel Bowly to him for the payment of five hundred pounds sterling dated the eleventh day of august in the year of our Lord seventeen hundred and sixty eight which was for their joint debt and that the said principal sums with a considerable amount of interest thereon were remaining due and unpaid on the twentieth day of June in the year seventeen hundred and eighty seven But they further say

that they are informed and believe that at the time of the death of the said William Lux he the said William Lux was largely indebted to the said Daniel Bowly and that the said estate of the said William Lux was indebted to the said Daniel Bowly in a much larger sum than the said Bowly's proportion of the said joint bond so due to the said Gough in consequence thereof it was the duty of the said George Lux and the said Daniel Bowly had a right to compel the said George Lux to pay and satisfy the whole of said joint debt to the said Gough out of the estate of said William Lux which the said George Lux received as heir or devisee of said William and to indemnify him the said Bowly against the said debt. and in consequence of the said deed of trust the said William Rupell was equally bound so to do and at the same time they allege that the estate of the said William Lux which came to the hands of the said George Lux as his heir or devisee and which was so conveyed to said William Rupell was much more than sufficient for that purpose. These respondents further jointly and generally answering here introduce and exhibit a plat of said tract called Chatsworth and certain parts thereof and illustrations of said plat which they consider necessary for the fully apprehending and better understanding certain facts which they deem important to be stated and understood in the examination and decision of this suit and therefore pray that the same may be received as part of this their answer on which plat the said tract called Chatsworth is located as beginning at the letter **A** from whence the first five lines of said tract run with the black lines numbered with the black figures one two three thence to the letter **B** and thence to the figure fourteen which line from the letter **B** to the figure fourteen is the fifth line of the said tract mentioned in said will on which the said five acres is to be laid out in a long square and the ropewalk aforesaid is represented on said plat by the narrow space between the two black lines extending from the small black letter h to the letter K through which runs the black broken line which they are informed and believe represents the particular piece of ground actually used and occupied as the rope walk and which by the said devise is directed to be included in the said five acres. These respondents further answering jointly and severally say they are informed and believe that the said George Lux before he executed said deed to said William Rupell had entered into a contract with the said Daniel Lammot whereby he had agreed to sell him a part of Chatsworth containing fourteen acres and one quarter of an acre for the sum of five hundred and twenty three pounds fifteen shillings currentmoney and contracted to convey the same by certain meets and bounds to him upon payment of the said purchase money which said fourteen acres and one quarter of an acre are as they are informed and believe truly located on said plat according to said meets and bounds beginning at the small black letter h on said plat and running with the red drawn lines numbered with the red figures one, two, four, six, seven, and thence to the beginning. These respondent further jointly and generally answering say that they understand and believe that after the execution of said deed to said William Rupell the said Daniel Lammot not having