which is now done sundry copies from ancient accounts taken from the books of the said Harry D'Gough which said copies this deponent craves may be made a part of and incorporated at large into this deposition. By the account No 1 it appears that William Rupple and William Lux became indebted to the said Gough on a bond dated the twelfth of December seventeen hundred and sixty nine for one hundred pounds sterling. By account No 2 it appears that William Lux and Bowly became also indebted on a bond to said Gough dated the eleventh of august seventeen hundred and sixty eight for five hundred pounds sterling. (James Carroll) This deponent hath understood that William Lux was the father of Georg Lux and uncle of Daniel Bowly and that William Russell married a sister of William Lux. The principal sums on these two debts as also a large amount of interest remained due until July the twentieth seventeen hundred and eighty seven as appears by account No 3 between the twentieth of July seventeen hundred and eighty seven and the second of april seventeen hundred and eighty nine this deponent was present as he believes more than once at interviews which took place between Mr Gough and Daniel Lammot and has a general recollection from what passed at these interviews that Mr Lammot had purchased a part of the land of George Lux and obtained Mr Goughs permission to pay therefor by a credit from him against the debts due him from Lux Bowly and Rupell by agreement the title in the lands so purchased was conveyed to Mr Gough in order to make the same responsible to him for the credit so given - Mr Gough never had possession of these lands he took Lammots penal bond for the credit so given and passed to him a bond to convey on the discharge of the debt so contracted the particulars of this transaction appear in the accounts exhibited No 3 and 6 both which accounts are entered in Ledger C folio 51 and 74 in the hand writing of this deponent who verily believes to be true all the facts stated in said accounts especially that Daniel Bowly was and must of course have been privy to the transaction and assented thereto. This deponent is well assured that he would have never inserted the fact stated in Ledger 6 viz that the balance due on Bowly and Lux's bond had been settled under the hands of (James Carroll) Mr Bowly and Mr Gough unless he had personal knowledge of such fact by being present when such settlement was signed or from a view of the bond after being so endorsed and signed as aforesaid this deponent being well acquainted with the hand writing of both Mr Gough and Mr Bowly. This deponent will

knowing that Mr Gough was only the nominal purchaser of these lands is led to believe that he Mr Gough was either ignorant of the times when the purchases were made or did not so far concern himself therewith as to give a credit on his books therefore until the final adjustment took place viz on about the second of April seventeen hundred and eighty nine as entered on the back of Bowly and Lux's bond; then or about that time this deponent believes the account for the first time assumed the form it bears. Ledger C folio 51 No 3. and that the entry thereof in the said Ledger was original and the whole thereof made at one and the same time viz on or about the second of april seventeen hundred and eighty nine. This deponent having diligently searched and not being able to find the lands so purchased by Lammot credited to Bowly and Lux's account in any other book than Ledger C folio 51. and further altho' he cannot recollect the especial incident yet he has a general recollection of attending at the request of Mr Gough to the said settlement - This deponent exhibits the copy of a letter from Mr Gough to Mr Bowly dated Feby 4th 1789 which letter is registered among many others relating to the business of Mr Gough in a book kept for that purpose in the hand writing of Samuel Vincent, the letter is in the following word.

Sir. The deceased Mr William Lux was bound to me jointly and severally in a bond with Mr William Rupelle as I choose to preserve the security I shall be obliged to you to have something (James Carroll) done to prevent the Statute of limitations from taking effect. the time is almost out and will not admit of delay - your answer by Mr Vincent will oblige - I will also thank you to have the deed executed for the land I purchased of Mr Lux - Dan'l Bowly Esq. H D G

This deponent has no knowledge of any lands purchased by Mr Gough of Mr Lux except those bought by Daniel Lammot in the manner aforesaid nor can he find any where an answer from Daniel Bowly to the aforesaid letter all that can be found is a letter from William Rupelle which viz the original is now exhibited dated the twenty fifth of February seventeen hundred and eighty nine and which this deponent verily believes to be the hand writing of said Rupelle and from the tenor thereof would seem as intended for an answer to the letter addressed as aforesaid to Daniel Bowly which letter is in the following words viz

February 25th 1789. Sir. I came to town early yesterday morning when I was informed Mr Bowly was gone to Annapolis - I will come again as soon as I can after he returns and I have little doubt of settling the balance I owe you in the manner proposed by Mr Carroll I will at the same time give you an answer about the ground Lamot applied for. I am Sir your most obedt servt Wm Rupelle

Henry Dorsey Gough Esq.