June Term 1826

Cooper Boyd the Defendant in this cause came with this affiment into this County and went to house keeping on the farm on which the said Cooper Boyd now lives being part of the tract of land called Coopers addition which was devised to the said Cooper Boyd by the aforesaid John Cooper and on which the said Cooper Boyd has continued to live to the present time and further saith not

July 1st 1824 John Boyd

Sedgwick James Jr Elizabeth James John Litlow Henry Samuel Henry, Robert Henry and Mary Henry heirs at law of Isaac Henry
vs
Cooper Boyd

Harford County Maryland Aug Term 1824
Ejectment

John Boyd son of Cooper Boyd aged forty years and upwards a witness for the defendant being first duly sworn by the Sheriff of Harford County deposeth and saith that the pile of stones now shewn by him was shewn him by Thomas Cooper the son of John Cooper the patentee of Coopers addition as one of the boundaries of the tract of land called Coopers addition at the time the said Thomas Cooper shewed the said boundary to him this deponent was about 9 or 10 years of age. The aforesaid pile of stones is the beginning of the tract of land called Boyds Addition which said tract this deponent knows to have been in the possession of Cooper Boyd the defendant for upwards of twenty five years and further saith not. July 1st 1824. John Boyd

The plaintiff in this case claims all the land contained within the lines of the tract of land called Pleasant Plains as located on the plat beginning at black A and running with black lines shaded yellow round to the beginning. Otho Scott atty for Pltff

The Defendant takes defence for that part of Coopers addition located within the state of Maryland beginning at red five near the state line running thence with a black line shaded red to where the same line is covered by a fence located on the plot and with that fence to its corner between black D and red 4 thence with said fence as located to black 76 thence with the black line shaded red to red 1 near black 57 thence with said black line shaded red to the Maryland and Pennsylvania line near black 50, and with said line to its intersection with the black line shaded red near the red figure 5 aforesaid. I D Maulsby atty for Deft

June Term 1826

And thereupon on motion of the said John Denn lessee as aforesaid by his attorney aforesaid it is ruled by the Court here that the said Richard Fenn the casual ejector the tenant in possession or those under whom they claim to the declaration aforesaid may answer as to all the land mentioned for which no defence is taken on the plots returned in this cause but the said Richard Fenn nor the said Cooper Boyd nor any other person or persons whatever appear to the declaration aforesaid nor in any manner make answer thereto as to the land aforesaid but make default. Thereupon it is considered by the Court here that the said John Denn lessee as aforesaid recover against the said Richard Fenn his term aforesaid yet to come and not expired of in and to all the land in the declaration aforesaid mentioned for which no defence hath been taken in the plots returned in this cause with the appurtenances and that the said John Denn lessee as aforesaid have thereof his possession against the said Richard Fenn.

Whereupon for trying the issue aforesaid it is ordered by the Court here that twenty persons from the pannel of petit Juroors be drawn by ballot according to the act of Assembly in such case made and provided and thereupon the twenty persons being so drawn by ballot and written upon two lists one of which said lists is delivered to the counsel for the respective parties and the counsel for each of the said parties having stricken out four persons from the said lists thereupon the remaining twelve persons being called come to wit George Bradford, Matthew Cain, Silas Baldwin, John Hanna, Thomas Hope, Josiah Johnson, James Lytle, James McClaskey, Amos Osborn, Benjamin Pitcock, William Pyle and John Street who being impannelled and sworn to say the truth in the premises upon their oath do say that the said Cooper Boyd is not guilty of the trespass and ejectment aforesaid in manner and form as the said John Denn lessee as aforesaid above complains against him as the said Cooper Boyd above by pleading alleges. Therefore it is considered by the Court here that the said John Denn lessee as aforesaid take nothing by his declaration aforesaid but that he for his false clamour be in mercy and so forth, and that the said Cooper Boyd go thereof without day and so forth. And it is also considered by the Court here that the said Cooper Boyd recover against the aforesaid John