by his attornies aforesaid says that in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of the decree aforesaid there is manifest error in this court that by the record aforesaid it appears that the decree aforesaid given was given for the said John McKim Junior against the said James Bosley when by the law of the land that decree ought to have been given for the said James Bosley against the said John McKim Junior therefore in that there is manifest error and he prays that the decree aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled and held entirely as void and that he the said James Bosley may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said decree and that the said John McKim Junior may rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here that the said John McKim Junior join in the errors by the said James Bosley above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against him in default thereof. And the said John McKim Junior by his attornies aforesaid prays that a day may be given to him to join in the errors by the said James Bosley above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid until the first monday in December next and to him it is granted the same day is also given to the said James Bosley.

At which said first monday in December being the sixth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty four which said day was given to the said John McKim Junior to join in the errors by the said James Bosley above assigned come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid and the said John McKim Junior by his attornies aforesaid says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid and he prays that the Court of appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid as of the matters aforesaid by the said James Bosley above for error assigned and that the said decree may be in all things affirmed and so forth thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided further continued

before the Court of Appeals here until the second monday in June next the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

At which said second monday in June being the thirteenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty five come again into the Court of Appeals here as well the said James Bosley by Roger B Taney Reverdy Johnson Joseph I Spence and Charles F Mayer his attornies as the said John McKim Junior by his attornies aforesaid. But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid with the consent of the said parties by their attornies aforesaid to come before the Court of appeals here on the first monday in December next to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises for that the Court now here as yet are not &c.

At which said first monday in December being the fifth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty five come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the Court of appeals here until the second monday in June next the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

And now at this day towit the said second monday in June being the twelfth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty six come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid and the decree given in form aforesaid as the matters aforesaid by the said James Bosley above for error assigned being seen and by the Court of appeals here fully understood and mature deliberation thereupon had for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is manifest error in the record of proceedings aforesaid and in the