the premises for that the Court now here as yet are not &c.

At which said first monday in December being the fifth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty five come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided further continued before the court of appeals here until the second monday in June next the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

And now at this day to wit the said second monday in June being the twelfth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty six come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and whole proceedings aforesaid and the decree thereupon given as the causes and matters above for error assigned being seen and by the Court of appeals here diligently examined into and fully understood and matured deliberation thereupon had for that it appears to the Court of appeals here that the decree aforesaid so as aforesaid given and rendered is according to equity and good conscience and that there is no error in the record and proceedings aforesaid or in the giving and rendering of the decree aforesaid. Therefore it is adjudged ordered and decreed by the Court of Appeals here that the decree aforesaid given and rendered in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect the said causes and matters for error above assigned and alleged in any wise notwithstanding.

And it is further adjudged ordered and decreed by the Court of appeals here that the said Henry W Rogers and Gordon Bigham executors as aforesaid recover against the said Penelope D Price and Alexander Nisbet the sum of sixty seven dollars and forty two cents adjudged unto the said Henry W Rogers and Gordon Bigham executors as aforesaid by the Court of appeals here on their appeal for their costs and charges which they have sustained by

occasion of the delay of the execution of the decree aforesaid by protest of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Penelope D Price and Alexander Nisbet for the correcting of errors of and upon the said premises as aforesaid prosecuted &c.

Test Th Harris clk.

Peter Sower
v
Robert Henderson

Be it remembered that heretofore to wit on the twenty eighth day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty five Peter Sower by John Nelson his attorney prosecuted and sued forth out of the Court of Appeals here a writ of the state of Maryland of Fieri facias to the Sheriff of Frederick County directed in the words and figures following to wit.

Maryland SS The State of Maryland to the sheriff of Frederick County greeting. Whereas at a County Court begun and held at Frederick Town in and for Frederick County on the first monday of august in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty four a certain Peter Sower by the judgment of the said Court recovered against a certain Robert Henderson late of Frederick County yeoman as well the sum of two hundred and thirty three dollars thirty three and one third cent current money a certain debt as the sum of five hundred dollars for his damages which he had sustained as well by reason of the detention of that debt as for his cost and charges by him about his suit in that behalf laid out and expended whereof the said Robert Henderson is convict as by inspecting the record of proceedings of the same Court brought before the Court of Appeals for the Western Shore at the City of Annapolis by reason of errors alleged to have been therein manifestly appears. And whereas it hath been adjudged in the said Court of Appeals at the City of Annapolis aforesaid on the second monday in June in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty five that the said judgment given in form aforesaid be in all things affirmed and ratified and that the said Peter Sower might have execution against the said Robert Henderson for as well the debt damages cost and charges aforesaid as adjudged unto him in the said County Court as also for the sum of ten dollars and twenty five cents for his damages cost and charges by him sustained by reason of delaying the execution of the judgment aforesaid by means of the prosecuting a certain appeal by the said Robert Henderson before the said Court of Appeals for the