June Term 1828

Which said bond was thus endorsed, to wit,

I certify, that the above securities are sufficient — C. Dorsey
Bond and Securities approved August 24th. 1826 — J. R. Plater.

It was therefore ordered by the County Court here, that the record and proceedings aforesaid, with all things thereunto relating, be transmitted to the said Court of Appeals for the Western Shore; and the same are transmitted to the said Court accordingly.

Test. Jo. Harris clk.

Saint Mary's County, towit.

I hereby certify, that the aforegoing is truly taken from the record of proceedings of Saint Mary's County Court.

In Testimony whereof I have hereunto set my hand & affixed the seal of my office this twenty second day of October Eighteen hundred and twenty five.

Seal

Jo Harris clk. St Mys County Court.

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said Francis Knott by Clement Dorsey his Attorney, as the said appellees by Gerard N Causin and Henry G S Key their Attornies; and thereupon, on motion of the said appellees by their attornies aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said Francis Knott assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the decree aforesaid as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here, will be entered against them in default thereof: And the said Francis Knott, by his attorney aforesaid, says, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of the decree aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the decree aforesaid, was given for the said appellees against the said Francis Knott when by the law of the land the said decree ought to have been given for the said Francis Knott against the said appellees, therefore in that there is manifest error; and he prays that the decree aforesaid for that error, and others, being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed annulled, and held entirely as void, and that he the said Francis Knott may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said decree, and that the said appellees may rejoin to the errors aforesaid, and so forth: And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here that the said appellees join in the errors by the said Francis Knott above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against them in default thereof. And the said Appellees by their attornies aforesaid, say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid, and they pray that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid by the said Francis Knott above for error assigned, and that the said decree may be in all things affirmed, and so forth. But because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, day therefore is given to the parties aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here, thereof are not advised, and so forth.

At which said first Monday in December being the third day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty seven, come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid: Whereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such cases made and provided, further continued, before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next: the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises and so forth.

And now at this day, to wit: the said second Monday in June being the ninth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty

June Term 1828

eight, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the decree given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by the said Francis Knott above for error assigned being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had: for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid: Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here that the decree aforesaid, given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alledged in any wise notwithstanding: And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said appellees have execution against the said Francis Knott as well for the costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto them in the said County Court of Saint Mary's as also the sum of fifty eight dollars and sixty two cents adjudged unto the said appellees by the Court of Appeals here, on their assessment for their costs and charges which they have sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the decree aforesaid by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Francis Knott for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted, and so forth.

Test. Jo Harris clk.

Hanson Burroughs
vs
Nathaniel Washington

Be it remembered that now here on the second Monday in June being the thirteenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and twenty five, the Chief Judge and Associate Judges of the first Judicial District of Maryland, in pursuance of the directions of the Act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmit to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them in the County Court of Saint Mary's between Nathaniel Washington plaintiff, and Hanson Burroughs defendant, and wherein the said County Court gave Judgment for the said Nathaniel Washington against the said Hanson Burroughs and from which said Judgment the said Hanson Burroughs appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following to wit.

Saint Mary's County to wit.

At a County Court of the State of Maryland for the first Judicial District begun and held at Leonard Town in and for the County aforesaid, on the first Monday of March, being the seventh day of the same month, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and twenty five, and in the forty ninth year of the Independence of the United States of America

Were present

The Hon.ble | John Stephen Esquire Chief Judge
Edmund Key
John R Plater } Esquires Associate Judges

Wm. Williams Esq.r Sheriff

Jo Harris clk.

In the record of proceedings of the said Court amongst others were the following towit.

Nathaniel Washington
against
Hanson Burroughs.

Be it remembered that heretofore towit, on the twenty eighth day of January in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty three, the said Nathaniel Washington by Gerard N Causin his Attorney prosecuted and sued forth out of the County Court here, the writ of the State of Maryland of capias ad respondendum, directed to the Sheriff of Saint Mary's County in the words following to wit:

Saint Mary's County to wit. The State of Maryland to the Sheriff of Saint Mary's County Greeting: You are hereby commanded to take Hanson Burroughs late of Saint Mary's