for the Western Shore.

Now the condition of the above obligation is such that if the above bound Hanson Burroughs shall not pursue the directions of the act of Assembly entitled "an act for regulating suits or error and granting appeals from and to the Court of bonimas [illegible] within this province" at the next Court of Appeals to be held for the Western Shore, and prosecute the same appeal with effect and also satisfy and pay unto the said Nathaniel Washington his executors, administrators or assigns in case said Judgment shall be affirmed as well all and singular the debt damages and costs adjudged by the County Court aforesaid as also all cost and damages that shall be awarded by the said Court of Appeals then this bond to be and remain in full force and virtue, otherwise of no effect.

Signed Sealed and delivered in presence of
Will Kilgour

Hanson × his mark Burroughs (Seal)
Henry × his mark Burroughs (Seal)
Leonard × his mark Burroughs (Seal)

which being read and heard and the securities in the said bond being by the Clerk of the said Court approved the twenty fourth day of May, eighteen hundred and twenty five, It is therefore ordered that the record and proceedings aforesaid in the plea aforesaid with all things thereunto relating be transmitted to the said Court of Appeals to be held for the Western Shore, and the same are transmitted to the said Court accordingly.

Test. Jo Harris clk.

Saint Mary's County, to wit

I hereby certify that the aforegoing is truly taken from the record of proceedings of Saint Mary's County Court.

Seal of St Mary's County Court

In Testimony whereof I have hereunto subscribe my name and affix my official seal this twenty fourth day of May in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty five.

Jo Harris clk St Mary's County Ct

And now come into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Hanson Burroughs by Alexander Kilgour his attorney as the said Nathaniel Washington by Gerard Mansen his attorney, and thereupon, on motion of the said Nathaniel Washington by his Attorney aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said Hanson Burroughs assigns the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof: And the said Hanson Burroughs by his attorney aforesaid, says, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, to wit; that by the record aforesaid it appears that the judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Nathaniel Washington against the said Hanson Burroughs when by the laws of the land that judgment ought to have been given for the said Hanson Burroughs against the said Nathaniel Washington therefore in that there is manifest error; and he prays that the judgment aforesaid for that error, and others, being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled, and held entirely as void, and that he the said Hanson Burroughs may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said judgment, and that the said Nathaniel Washington may rejoin to the errors aforesaid, and so forth; and thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Nathaniel Washington join in the errors by the said Hanson Burroughs above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against him in default thereof. And the said Nathaniel Washington by his attorney aforesaid, prays that a day may be given to him to join in the errors by the said Hanson Burroughs above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the first Monday in

December next, and to him it is granted; the same day is also given to the said Hanson Burroughs.

At which said first Monday in December being the fifth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty five which said day was given to the said Nathaniel Washington to join in the errors by the said Hanson Burroughs above assigned, come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid; and the said Nathaniel Washington by his attorney aforesaid says that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid, and he prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said Hanson Burroughs above for errors assigned, and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed, and so forth.

Thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided, further continued, before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next; the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises and so forth.

At which second Monday in June being the twenty fifth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty six come again into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Hanson Burroughs by Alexander Kilgour Clement Dorsey and Joseph I Sprigg his attornies as the said Nathaniel Washington by his attorney. But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid with the consent of the said parties, by their attornies aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here, on the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not, and so forth.

At which said first Monday in December being the fourth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty six come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of Assembly, in such case made and provided, further continued, before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next; the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises, and so forth.

At which said second Monday in June being the eleventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty seven come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid; But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties, by their attornies aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not, and so forth.

At which said first Monday in December being the third day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty seven come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid; Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next; the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises, and so forth.

And now at this day, to wit, the said second Monday in June being the ninth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attornies