be entered against them in default thereof: And the said Gustavus R Brown and Eliza his wife by their attornies aforesaid, say, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of the Decree aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the decree aforesaid, was given for the said William Hebb and Peter D Hatton against the said Gustavus R Brown & Eliza his wife, when by the law of the land that decree ought to have been given for the said Gustavus R Brown and Eliza his wife against the said William Hebb and Peter D Hatton, therefore in that there is manifest error, and they pray that the decree aforesaid for that error, and others, being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled, and held entirely as void, and that they the said Gustavus R Brown and Eliza his wife may be restored to all things which they have lost by occasion of the said decree, and that the said William Hebb and Peter D Hatton may rejoin to the errors aforesaid, and so forth: And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said William Hebb and Peter D Hatton join in the errors by the said Gustavus R Brown and Eliza his wife above designed in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or Judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against them in default thereof. And the said William Hebb and Peter D Hatton by their attornies aforesaid, pray that a day may be given to them to join in the errors by the said Gustavus R Brown and Eliza his wife above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the first Monday in December next, and to them it is granted. the same day is also given to the said Gustavus R Brown and Eliza his wife.

At which said first Monday in December being the third day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty seven, which said day was given to the said William Hebb and Peter D Hatton to join in the errors by the said Gustavus R Brown and Eliza his wife above assigned, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid: and the said William Hebb and Peter D Hatton by their attornies aforesaid, say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid, and they pray that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said Gustavus R Brown and Eliza his wife above for error assigned, and that the said decree may be in all things affirmed, and so forth.

Thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of Assembly, in such case made and provided, further continued, before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in June next: the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises, and so forth.

And now at this day, to wit, the said second Monday in June being the ninth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the decree given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said Gustavus R Brown and Eliza his wife above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had: for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid: Therefore it is considered by the Court of

Appeals here that the decree aforesaid, given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alledged in any wise notwithstanding: And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said William Hebb and Peter D Hatton have execution against the said Gustavus R Brown and Eliza his wife as well for the costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto them in the said County Court of Prince George as also the sum of thirty dollars and sixty one cents adjudged unto the said William Hebb and Peter D Hatton by the Court of Appeals here, on their assent for their costs and charges which they have sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the decree aforesaid, by pretext to the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Gustavus R Brown and Eliza his wife for the correcting of errors if and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted; and so forth.

Test. Th. Harris Clk.

Nicholas Strike
vs.
William McDonald & Son

Be it remembered, that now here on the second monday in June being the twelfth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty six, the Chancellor of Maryland in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmits to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings in a cause which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery, between William McDonald and Samuel McDonald complainants, and Nicholas Strike and John Rodgers defendants, and wherein the said Court of Chancery passed a decree for the said William McDonald and Samuel McDonald against the said Nicholas Strike one of the Defendants and from which said decree the said Nicholas Strike appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following, to wit:

(Here Copy Record bound up in Book marked J. H. No. ADM)

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said Nicholas Strike by Samuel Moale, George Winchester and James Boyle his attornies, as the said William McDonald and Samuel McDonald by John P Kennedy, Alexander C. Magruder, Charles Mitchell and Henry M Rogers their attornies, and thereupon on motion of the said William McDonald and Samuel McDonald by their attornies aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said Nicholas Strike assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the decree aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof. And the said Nicholas Strike by his attornies aforesaid, says, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of the decree aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, to wit, that by the record aforesaid, it appears that the decree aforesaid, was given for the said William McDonald and Samuel McDonald against the said Nicholas Strike when by the law of the land that decree ought to have been given for the said Nicholas Strike against the said William McDonald and Samuel McDonald therefore in that there is manifest error: and he prays that the decree aforesaid for that error, and others, being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled, and held entirely as void, and that he the said Nicholas Strike may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said decree, and that the said William McDonald and Samuel McDonald may rejoin to the errors aforesaid, and so forth: And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that