thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said John Palmer join in the errors by the said Mary Frazier and John L Frazier above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against him in default thereof. And the said John Palmer by his attorney aforesaid, prays that a day may be given to him to join in the errors by the said Mary Frazier and John L Frazier above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the first Monday in December next, and to him it is granted: the same day is also given to the said Mary Frazier and John L. Frazier.

At which said first Monday in December being the fourth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty six which said day was given to the said John Palmer to join in the errors by the said Mary Frazier and John L Frazier above assigned, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid; and the said John Palmer by his attorney aforesaid says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid, and he prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said Mary Frazier and John L Frazier above for error assigned, and that the said decree may be in all things affirmed, and so forth. Thereupon further process of law upon the premises between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided, further continued, before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next: the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises and so forth.

At which said second Monday in June being the eleventh day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty seven come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefor is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties, by their attornies aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here on the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not, and so forth.

At which said first Monday in December being the third day of the third day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty seven, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid: Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided, further continued, before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next, the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises, and so forth.

And now at this day, to wit, the said second Monday in June being the ninth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and whole proceedings aforesaid, and the decree given in form

aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said Mary Frazier and John L Frazier above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had; for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is manifest error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of the decree aforesaid: therefore it is adjudged ordered and decreed by the Court of Appeals here that the decree of the Court of Chancery given and rendered in form aforesaid be reversed, annulled and held entirely as void and that the said Mary Frazier and John L Frazier be restored to all things which by reason of the decree aforesaid they have lost, And it is further adjudged ordered and decreed that the said Mary Frazier and John L Frazier recover against the said John Palmer the sum of forty eight dollars and sixty seven cents for their costs and charges expended in and about their defence in the Court of Chancery and prosecuting their said appeal of and upon the premises, and so forth.

Test. Th. Harris Clk

Henry Herring
vs
George Coulson, John W Stump and Wm. Bromwell Junior

Be it remembered, that now here on the first Monday in December being the fourth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and twenty six the Chancellor of Maryland, in pursuance of the directions of the act of assembly in such case made and provided, transmits to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a cause which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery between Henry Herring complainant, and George Coulson William Bromwell and John W Stump defendants, and whereon the said Court of Chancery passed a decree for the said George Coulson, John W Stump and William Bromwell Junior against the said Henry Herring, and from which said decree, the said Henry Herring appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following to wit:

(See record bound up in Book T. H. No. A. N.)

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said Henry Herring by John Glenn and Charles Mitchell his attornies, as the said George Coulson, John W Stump, and William Bromwell Junior by Reverdy Johnson and George Winchester their attornies, and thereupon, on motion of the said George Coulson, John W Stump, and William Bromwell Junior, by their attornies aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said Henry Herring assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof. And the said Henry Herring by his attornies aforesaid says, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of decree aforesaid, there is manifest error in this to wit; that by the record aforesaid, it appears that the decree aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said George Coulson, John W Stump and William Bromwell Junior, against the said Henry Herring, when by the law of the land that decree ought to have been given for the said Henry Herring against the said George Coulson, John W Stump, and William Bromwell Junior, therefore in that there is manifest error: and he prays that the decree aforesaid, for that error and others, being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled and held entirely as void, and that he the said Henry Herring may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said decree, and that the said George Coulson, John W Stump and William Bromwell Junior may rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth: And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said George Coulson, John W Stump, and William Bromwell Junior, join in the errors by the said Henry Herring