and tenements of the said Benjamin Evans being in your bailiwick, you should cause to be made the damages costs and charges aforesaid, and that you should have those sums before the said Court of Appeals to be held at the City of Annapolis on the second Monday in June then next, to render unto the said Robert Welch of Ben and James C Tellman the damages costs and charges aforesaid: On which said second Monday in June in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight, you made return to the said Court of Appeals, that by virtue of the said writ to you directed for that purpose, you had taken a tract of land called Rummy Mead containing 350 acres a parcel of tobacco in bulk and hanging in the respective houses, 1 negro man named Ned, 1 do Benoar, 1 do David, 1 do Jack 1 do Isaac, 1 do Lad Jack, 1 do Harry, 1 do Ben, 1 do Robert, 1 do William, 1 do Dennis 1 Norman Wilson, 1 do Peg, 1 do Jane 1 do Tom, 20 head black cattle 10 horses 20 sheep 40 hogs 1 ox cart, 1 Gig and Harness 1 Waggon, 30 barrels of corn of the goods and chattels lands and tenements of the said Benjamin Evans in your bailiwick being, to satisfy unto the said Robert Welch of Ben and James C Tellman the damages costs and charges aforesaid, in the said writ mentioned, which said goods and chattels lands and tenements were stayed by injunction so that the said damages, costs and charges you could not have as you were by the said writ commanded: Therefore you are hereby commanded, that the said lands and tenements, so as aforesaid taken, you cause to sale, and the money therefrom arising you have before the said Court of Appeals to be held at the City of Annapolis on the second Monday in June next to render unto the said Robert Welch of Ben and James C Tellman the damages costs and charges aforesaid, and all such costs as have accrued on the said writ of fieri facias; and how you shall execute this writ you make appear unto the said Court of Appeals at the place and on the day aforesaid, and have you there and there this writ. Witness the Honorable John Buchanan Esquire chief Judge of the said Court of Appeals the first day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight.

Issued the 11 day of February 1829.

(Alexander) Wm Harris Clk

Which said writ of Vendi exponas was thereon endorsed as follows toit:

Damages five thousand dollars and many costs seven dollars forty six and two third cents. Court of Appeals costs nine dollars and ninety two cents. additional costs one dollar and ninety eight cents. To be released on payment of two thousand four hundred and two dollars and twenty four cents with interest from the first of April eighteen hundred and twenty four and the above costs. Payment made of fourteen hundred and forty seven dollars and fifteen cents as of the tenth of August eighteen hundred and twenty seven.

And now at this day toit: the said second Monday in June being the eighth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty nine and the return day of the foregoing writ comes into the Court of Appeals here the said Robert Welch of Ben and James C Tellman by their attorney aforesaid, and the Sheriff of Anne Arundel county aforesaid, to whom the said writ was in form aforesaid directed toit, Richard Iglehart Gentleman, makes return thereof to the Court here, thus endorsed toit:

I hereby certify to the Honorable the Judges of the Court of Appeals that by virtue of the within writ of Venditione exponas, I exposed the tract of land called Rummy Mead therein mentioned at public sale on Saturday the 14th day of March 1829 after giving legal notice thereof, and at the said sale Robert Welch of Ben of the City of Annapolis became the purchaser of the said tract of land for the sum of twelve dollars, which sum has been paid to the plaintiff.

Richard Iglehart Sheff A A Coty.

Test. Wm Harris Clk.

John Smith Senr
vs
Richard Hanwood of
Thomas & Henry H Hanwood
admrs of Benjamin Hanwood.

Be it remembered, that on the second Monday in June being the eleventh day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty seven, the Chancellor of Maryland in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmits to the Court of Appeals here, the record of proceedings of a certain cause which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery between Richard Hanwood of Thomas and Henry H Hanwood administrators of Benjamin Hanwood complainants, and John Smith Senr defendant, and wherein the said Court of Chancery passed a decree for the said Richard Hanwood of Thomas and Henry H Hanwood administrators as aforesaid against the said John Smith Senr. and from which said decree the said John Smith Senr appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following toit:

(See record bound up in Book I.J. folio 411.)

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said John Smith Senr by Alexander Randall his attorney, as the said Richard Hanwood of Thomas and Henry H Hanwood administrators as aforesaid by Alexander & Meagruder their attorney: and thereupon on motion of the said Richard Hanwood of Thomas and Henry H Hanwood administrators as aforesaid by their attorney aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said John Smith Senr assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the decree aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here, for reversing the errors supposed to be therein, or judgment by the Court of appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof: And the said John Smith Senr by his attorney aforesaid, says that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of decree aforesaid, there is manifest error in this toit, that by the record aforesaid, it appears that the decree aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Richard Hanwood of Thomas and Henry H Hanwood administrators as aforesaid, against the said John Smith Senr when by the law of the land that decree ought to have been given for the said John Smith Senr against the said Richard Hanwood of Thomas and Henry H Hanwood administrators as aforesaid, therefore in that there is manifest error, and he prays that the decree aforesaid, for that error and others, being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed annulled and held entirely as void, and that he the said John Smith Senr may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said decree, and that the said Richard Hanwood of Thomas and Henry H Hanwood administrators as aforesaid may rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Richard Hanwood of Thomas and Henry H Hanwood administrators as aforesaid, join in the errors.