day of april 1827. John Stephen (Seal) Edmund Key (Seal) J. H. Slater (Seal).

And whereas afterwards to wit on the tenth day of July in the year last aforesaid entered an appeal from the Judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid rendered against him to the Court of appeals to be held for the western shore. In pursuance whereof and of the act of assembly in such case made and provided a transcript of the proceedings in the plea aforesaid with all things thereunto relating is hereby transmitted to the said court of appeals to be held for the Western shore at the City of annapolis.

Test. Aquila Beall Clk.

Maryland Prince Georges county Set.

I hereby certify that the transcript and proceedings within contained are faithfully and truly taken & copied from the record of proceedings of Prince Georges county court. In Testimony whereof I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed the seal of said county court this eighth day of January eighteen hundred and twenty eight.

(Seal) J. B. Poly

Aquila Beall Clk of Prince Georges County court.

And now come into the court of appeals here, as well the said John Denn Lessee as aforesaid by John Johnson, Clement Dorsey and Francis S Key his attornies as the said Theophilus Wilson by Alexander Magruder his attorney: and thereupon on motion of the said Theophilus Wilson by his attorney aforesaid, it is ruled by the court here, that the said John Denn lessee as aforesaid assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid brought before the court of appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or judgment by the court of appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof: And the said John Denn Lessee as aforesaid by his attornies aforesaid, says, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid, there is manifest error in this, to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Theophilus Wilson against the said John Denn lessee as aforesaid when by the law of the land that judgment ought to have been given for the said John Denn lessee as aforesaid against the said Theophilus Wilson therefore in that there is manifest error: and he prays that the judgment aforesaid for that error, and others, being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled, and held entirely as void, and that he, the said John Denn lessee as aforesaid may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said judgment, and that the said Theophilus Wilson may rejoin to the errors aforesaid, and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the court of appeals here, that the said Theophilus Wilson join in the errors by the said John Denn lessee as aforesaid above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the court of appeals here will be rendered against him in default thereof. and the said

Theophilus Wilson by his attorney aforesaid, says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid, and he prays that the court of appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said John Denn Lessee as aforesaid above for error assigned, and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed: and so forth. thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided, further continued, before the court of appeals here, until the second monday in June next: the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the court of appeals here, of and upon the said premises, and so forth.

At which said second Monday in June being the ninth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight come again into the court of appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid. But because the court of appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises aday therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid with the consent of the said parties, by their attornies aforesaid, to come before the court of appeals here, on the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the court of appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the court now here as yet are not, and so forth.

At which said first monday in December being the first day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight come again into the court of appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid: Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided, further continued, before the court of appeals here, until the second Monday in June next: the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the court of appeals here, of and upon the said premises and so forth.

And now at this day to wit, the said second monday in June being the eighth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty nine come again into the court of appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid. whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said John Denn lessee as aforesaid above for error assigned, being seen, and by the court of appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had, for that it appears to the court of appeals here that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid: Therefore it is considered by the court of appeals here that the judgment aforesaid, given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said