judgment, and that the said Francis Belmear may rejoin to the errors aforesaid, and so forth: And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Francis Belmear join in the errors by the said Walter S Clarke above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against him in default thereof: and the said Francis Belmear by his attornies aforesaid, says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid, and he prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said Walter S Clarke above for error assigned, and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided, further continued, before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next; the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises, and so forth.

At which said second Monday in June being the ninth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid, whereupon the said Francis Belmear by John Johnson, John B. Brooke and Nicholas Stonestreet his attornies, it is moved, that the said appeal be dismissed. Thereupon it is ruled by the Court here, that the said motion be heard on Tuesday the seventeenth day of June instant - and before the time of the hearing of the motion, the said Francis Belmear by his attornies aforesaid asked leave that the said motion be withdrawn: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties by their attornies aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here, on the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not and so forth.

At which said first Monday in December being the first day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid: Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided, further continued, before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next; the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

And now at this day, to wit, the said second Monday in June being the eighth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight



hundred and twenty nine come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said Walter S Clarke above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had: for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid: Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here that the judgment aforesaid, given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alleged in any wise notwithstanding: And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Francis Belmear have execution against the said Walter S Clarke as well for the costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto him in the said county court of Prince Georges as also the sum of thirty eight dollars and fourteen cents adjudged unto the said Francis Belmear by the Court of Appeals here, on his assent for his costs and charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the judgment aforesaid, by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Walter S Clarke for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted, and so forth.

Test. John Johnson clk.

---

Thomas A Hays
vs
William Richardson

Be it remembered, that now here on the second Monday in June being the thirteenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and twenty five the Chief Judge and associate Judges of the sixth Judicial District of Maryland, in pursuance of the directions of the act of assembly in such case made and provided, transmit to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them in the County Court of Harford between William Richardson plaintiff, and Thomas A Hays defendant, and wherein the said county court gave judgment for the said William Richardson against the said Thomas A Hays and from which said judgment the said Thomas A Hays appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following, to wit:

State of Maryland, Harford county Court.

At a county Court of the sixth Judicial District of the State of Maryland begun and held for Harford county aforesaid, at the Court House, in the same county, on the second Monday of March in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty five. Present.

The Honorable. Stephenson Archer chief Judge
Charles McHanson Associate Judge.
Henry H. Johns Gentleman Sheriff. Henry Posey. Clerk.