June Term 1829.

And thereupon on motion of the said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid, by his attornies aforesaid, it is ordered by the court here, that the said Richard Roe, the casual ejector, the tenants in possession, or those under whom they claim, to the declaration aforesaid, may answer, as to all the land therein mentioned, for which no defence is taken in this cause: but the said Richard Roe, nor the said George A Hughes, Samuel Moore, and Peggy Moore his wife, Christopher Hughes, Louisa Armistead, Horatio Gates Armstrong, and Mary his wife, and Charles M Thruston and Julian his wife, nor any other person or persons whatever, appears to the declaration aforesaid, nor in any manner makes answer thereto, as to the Land aforesaid, but makes default. Therefore it is considered by the court here, that the said John Doe, Lessee as aforesaid, recover against the said Richard Roe, his term aforesaid, yet to come and unexpired, of in and to all the Land in the declaration aforesaid mentioned, for which no defence hath been taken in this cause, with the appurtenances, and that the said John Doe, Lessee as aforesaid have thereof his possession against the said Richard Roe. Whereupon for trying the issue aforesaid between the parties aforesaid above joined, it is ordered by the court here, that twenty persons from the panel of Jurors returned to the court here by the Sheriff of Baltimore county aforesaid, be drawn by ballot, according to the act of assembly in such case made and provided; and thereupon the twenty persons being so drawn by ballot, and written upon two lists, one of which said lists is delivered to the counsel for the respective parties and the counsel for each of the said parties having stricken out four persons from the said lists, thereupon the remaining twelve persons, being called, come, that is to say, William Scarf, John Stone, Charles G. Lyon, Israel Owings, Nimrod Cromwell, Thomas Scott, George Bliff, John Bacon, John I Brown, John Fuller, Philip Gore, and John B Pearce, who being empanelled, sworn and affirmed to say the truth in the premises, upon their oath and affirmation do say that the said George A Hughes Samuel Moore and Peggy Moore his wife, Christopher Hughes, Louisa Armistead, Horatio Gates Armstrong, and Mary his wife, and Charles M Thruston, and Julian his wife, are not guilty of the trespass and ejectment aforesaid, in manner and form as the said George A Hughes, Samuel Moore and Peggy Moore his wife and Charles M Thruston and Julian his wife, above by pleading have alleged. Therefore it is considered by the court here, that the said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid take nothing by his declaration aforesaid, but that for his false complaint against the said George A Hughes, Samuel Moore, and Peggy Moore his wife, Christopher Hughes, Louisa Armistead, Horatio Gates Armstrong and Mary his wife, and Charles M Thruston, and Julian his wife, be in mercy and so forth, and that the said George A Hughes, Samuel Moore and Peggy Moore his wife, Christopher Hughes, Louisa Armistead, Horatio Gates Armstrong and Mary his wife, and Charles M Thruston and Julian his wife, go thereof without day and so forth. And it is further considered by the court here, that the said George A Hughes, Samuel Moore and Peggy Moore his wife, Christopher Hughes, Louisa Armistead, Horatio Gates Armstrong and Mary his wife, and Charles M Thruston and Julian his wife recover against

June Term 1829.

the said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid, the sum of ninety five dollars, fifty one cents, and two thirds of a cent for their costs and charges by them about their defence, in this behalf sustained, to the said George A Hughes, Samuel Moore and Peggy Moore his wife, Christopher Hughes, Louisa Armistead, Horatio Gates Armstrong, and Mary his wife and Charles M Thruston, and Julian his wife, by the court now here adjudged and that they have thereof execution and so forth.

Memorandum. Before the Jurors aforesaid, withdrew from the bar of the court here the said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid, by his attornies aforesaid, files in court here, the following bills of exceptions to wit.

John James Giraud's Lessee vs. George A Hughes & others.	Action of Ejectment, in Baltimore county court.
Same vs. Same	Same

At the trial of the above causes, the Plaintiff, to maintain the issues on his part, offered in evidence to the Jury, a certificate of Survey, bearing date on the seventh day of December, eighteen hundred and twelve, in the words and figures following to wit, (here insert it). And a patent granted on the said certificate, bearing date on the twenty third day of June, eighteen hundred and thirteen, to the said John James Giraud, in the words and figures following to wit, (here insert it) And the Plaintiff also offered in evidence to the Jury another certificate of survey, bearing date on the thirteenth day of July, eighteen hundred and thirteen, in the words and figures following to wit (here insert it) and a patent granted on the said certificate, bearing date on the thirteenth day of February, eighteen hundred and fourteen, to the said John James Giraud, in the words and figures following to wit, (here insert it). And also offered evidence to the Jury, that the said patents were correctly located on the plats filed in the above causes. And also offered evidence to the Jury that the tenth line of Gists' Inspection mentioned and called for in the said patents, was correctly located on the said plats in green shaded lines. And the plaintiff further offered evidence to the Jury, that the beginning of the tract of land called Augustus Discovery, was correctly located at C, and that the beginning of the tract of land called Augustus' Discovery resurveyed, was correctly located at D, and that the said points C D are in the said tenth line of a tract of land called Gists Inspection, at the places designated on the plats respectively. And also offered in evidence to the Jury, a patent for the said tract or parcel of land called Gists inspection, bearing date on the ninth day of July, seventeen hundred and thirty two, in the words and figures following to wit, (here insert the Patent) And also offered in evidence to the Jury that the beginning of the said tract or parcel of land, is correctly located upon the plats at A, and that the said tract or parcel of land is correctly located thereon in green shaded lines from the beginning at A, and running round said