At which said second Monday in June being the twelfth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty six, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties, by their attornies aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here, on the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not and so forth.

At which said first Monday in December being the fourth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty six come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid: Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided, further continued, before the Court of Appeals here until the second Monday in June next; the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

At which said second Monday in June being the eleventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty seven come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give in and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties by their attornies aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in December next: to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not and so forth.

At which said first Monday in December, being the third day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty seven come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies: Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided, further continued, before the Court of Appeals here until the second Monday in June next: the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

At which said second Monday in June being the ninth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight, come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties, by their attornies aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in December next: to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not and so forth.

At which said first Monday in December being the first day of the said month

in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid: Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of assembly, in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the second Monday in June next: the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises, and so forth.

And now, at this day, to wit, the said second Monday in June being the eighth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty nine come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid. Whereupon as well the Record and Proceedings aforesaid, and the Judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by the said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had: for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Judgment aforesaid: Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the judgment aforesaid, given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alleged in any wise notwithstanding: And it is further considered, by the Court of Appeals here, that the said George A Hughes, Samuel Moore and Peggy Moore his wife, Christopher Hughes, Louisa Armistead, Horatio Gates Armstrong, and Mary his wife and Charles M Thruston and Julian his wife have execution against the said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid as well for the costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto them in the said County Court of Baltimore as also the sum of twenty one dollars and thirty seven cents adjudged unto the said George A Hughes, Samuel Moore, and Peggy Moore his wife, Christopher Hughes, Louisa Armistead, Horatio Gates Armstrong and Mary his wife and Charles M Thruston and Julian his wife by the Court of Appeals here, on their assent for their costs and charges which they had sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the judgment aforesaid, by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said John Doe Lessee as aforesaid of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted, and so forth.

Memorandum. At the trial and decision of this cause the Honorable Stevenson Archer, Judge, withdrew from the bench

Test

John Johnson Clk.

John James Girard's Lessee

vs.

George A Hughes, Samuel Moore and Peggy Moore his wife, Christopher Hughes, Louisa Armistead, Horatio Gates Armstrong and Mary Armstrong his wife and Charles M. Thruston and Julia Ann his wife.

Be it remembered, that now here on the first Monday in December being the first day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty