Charles Calvert Esq. our Lieutenant General of our said Province of Maryland.

In Testimony that the foregoing is a true copy from Liber No 7 folio 580 one of the records belonging to the Western Shore Land Office. I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal this 25th day of May 1822. (Seal)

John Brewer. Reg. Ld Off. W.S. Md.

And then offered proof that the Plaintiff was at the time alledged in the declaration in the cause or the time when the trespasses were alleged to be committed and for several years before in possession of a part of the said land called Marchams Rest, and proved the commission of several trespasses by the Tenants of the Defendant in the locus in quo designated on the plots in the cause by a line drawn from black D to red D. to I and to black D. The Defendant to support the issue on his part joined, then for the purpose of shewing that the Plaintiffs location of the said Marchams Rest was not the true location thereof but that if the said land was truly located the acts alledged as trespasses proved would not be found to have been committed within the lines of the said tract of Land, but within the lines of a tract of Land called Quick sale which belonged formerly to the Defendant and offered to shew a location on the said plotts beginning at red A the beginning of a tract of Land called Taylorton as so located on said plotts running by the yellow shaded lines around the plaintiffs said location of Marchams rest by red D to the said red A as a counter location of Marchams rest. and to produce evidence of the correctness of that location, which was objected to by the plaintiff on the ground that it did not appear on the plots by whom that location had been made or what land it was, that was thus located. and because there was not on the said plotts any explanation of the said alleged counter location. Whereupon the Defendant produced another plot formerly made in the cause in the words and figures following.

Explanation of the Plat for the Defendant by his direction

A the Beginning of a tract of Land called Taylorton. Surveyed for Robert Taylor October 19th 1653, running the lines No 1 2 3 then to the Beg for 800 acres shaded red. B. A bounded stone at Magnudes Ferry on the west bank of Patuxent river shewn as the 2d Boundary of Taylorton. (See the depositions of Messrs Wilson & Manning) C. A beach tree shewn as being in the place where a marked oak formerly stood. 3 Boundary of Taylorton (see the deposition of Mr Wilson). D. A bounded stone shewn as being in the place where a marked poplar formerly stood the 4th Boundary of Taylorton (see the deposition of Mr Wilson) E A hickory stone shewn as the place where a marked oak formerly stood the 1st Boundary of Marchams Rest.

The State of Maryland. Prince George's County S.S. By virtue of a writ of Survey bearing date the day of September 1800 granted out of the County Court of Prince George's County, to resurvey all that plantable land called being in the County aforesaid containing acres for the better Illustration in a certain plea of trespass in the said Court depending between a certain Hugh Perrie and Lloyd Perrie plaintiffs and Thomas Marsdell defendant. I hereby certify as Surveyor of the County aforesaid that I have in the presence of the Sheriff of the County aforesaid carefully resurveyed and laid down part of the tracts or parcels of land above explained by direction of the Defendant. In Testimony that the tracts or parcels of land above explained was resurveyed and laid down by the defendants direction. the

have hereto set our hands and seals this day of 1823. Geo H Lanham Shff (Seal)

John McGill Surveyor of Pgeo County (Seal)



And proved by the Clerk that the plotts filed in this cause had been delivered by him under a leave of the Court to add and amend to the Sheriff of Prince George's County for the purpose of making the amendment, the Defendant further by the Surveyor proved that he the Surveyor had received that plot from the Sheriff for the purpose of making the amendment and that instead of making the amendment, on that plot he having been directed by the Court to combine that plot and explanations with another that had been made out for the Pltff copied (as he supposed every thing from that plott so made out for Dft. and the other plot so made out for Pltff. upon another paper, which is the amended plot in this cause and which is marked B which is in the letters lines and figures following to wit.