Demont and his wife Dorcas, Walter, Nancy, Hezekiah, George and Edward Demont and Mary Mackhom, and from which said decree the said Edward Demont and his wife Dorcas, Walter, Nancy, Hezekiah, George and Edward Demont and Mary Mackhom appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following, to wit:

(See record bound up in Book I.I. No. A.P.)

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said Edward Demont and his wife Dorcas, Walter, Nancy, Hezekiah, George and Edward Demont and Mary Mackhom by James F. Price their attorney, as the said Robert Homer, Luke W. Barber, Richard Marshall and others, in their own proper persons: and thereupon, on motion of the said Robert Homer, Luke W. Barber, Richard Marshall and others, it is ruled by the Court here that the said Edward Demont and his wife Dorcas, Walter, Nancy, Hezekiah, George and Edward Demont and Mary Mackhom assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the judgment aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against them in default thereof: And the said Edward Demont and his wife Dorcas, Walter, Nancy, Hezekiah, George and Edward Demont and Mary Mackhom by their attorney aforesaid, say, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of Decree aforesaid, there is manifest error in this Court, that by the record aforesaid, it appears that the Decree aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Robert Homer, Luke W. Barber, Richard Marshall and others against the said Edward Demont and his wife Dorcas, Walter, Nancy, Hezekiah, George and Edward Demont and Mary Mackhom, when by the law of the land that Decree ought to have been given for the said Edward Demont and his wife Dorcas, Walter, Nancy, Hezekiah, George and Edward Demont and Mary Mackhom against the said Robert Homer, Luke W. Barber, Richard Marshall and others, therefore in that there is manifest error: and they pray that the Decree aforesaid for that error and others, being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled and held entirely for nought, and that they the said Edward Demont and his wife Dorcas, Walter, Nancy, Hezekiah, George and Edward Demont and Mary Mackhom may be restored to all things which they have lost by occasion of the said decree, and that the said Robert Homer, Luke W. Barber, Richard Marshall and others may reply to the errors aforesaid and so forth: And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Robert Homer, Luke W. Barber, Richard Marshall and others join in the errors, by the said Edward Demont and his wife Dorcas, Walter, Nancy, Hezekiah, George and Edward Demont and Mary Mackhom above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against them in default thereof: And the said Robert Homer, Luke W. Barber, Richard Marshall and others, say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid, and they pray that the Court of Appeals here, will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said Edward Demont and his wife Dorcas, Walter, Nancy, Hezekiah, George and Edward Demont and Mary Mackhom above for error assigned, and that the said decree may be in all things affirmed and so forth:

Thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided, further continued, before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next: the same day is given to the said parties, then there to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises, and so forth. At which said second Monday in June being the ninth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attorney aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties, by their attorney aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here, on the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not and so forth. At which said first Monday in December being the first day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attorney aforesaid. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided, further continued, before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next: the same day is given to the said parties, then there to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises, and so forth. At which said second Monday in June being the eighth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty nine, come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attorney aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties, by their attorney aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not, and so forth. At which said first Monday in December being the second day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty nine, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attorney aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties, by their attorney aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here, on the second Monday in June next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises for that the Court now here, as yet are not, and so forth. And now at this day to wit, the said second Monday in June being the fourteenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attorney aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the Decree given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said Edward Demont and his wife Dorcas Walter, Nancy, Hezekiah, George and Edward Demont and Mary Mackhom above for error assigned being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had; for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid: Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here that the Decree aforesaid, given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in