full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alleged in arrearage notwithstanding: And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Robert Horner, Luke W Barber, Richard Marshall and others have execution against the said Edward Demont and his wife Dorcas, Walter, Nancy, Hezekiah, George and Edward Demont and Mary Mackhorn for the sum of twenty four dollars and eighty two cents for their costs and charges adjudged unto them by the Court of Appeals here on their assent which they have sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the Decree aforesaid by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Edward Demont and his wife Dorcas, Walter, Nancy, George Hezekiah and Edward Demont and Mary Mackhorn for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted &c

Test. John Johnson Clk.

William Gwynn
vs
Allen Thomas.

Be it remembered, that now here on the first Monday in December being the third day of the said month, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and twenty seven the Chancellor of Maryland, in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmits to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a certain cause which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery between Allen Thomas complainant, and William Gwynn defendant, and wherein the said Court of Chancery passed a Decree for the said Allen Thomas, against the said William Gwynn, and from which the said Decree the said William Gwynn appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following, to wit:

(See record bound up in Book J.J. No 4 P.)

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said William Gwynn by William Gwynn and Reverdy Johnson his attorneys, as the said Allen Thomas by Thomas S. Alexander his attorney: and thereupon, on motion of the said Allen Thomas by his attorney aforesaid, it is ordered by the Court here, that the said William Gwynn assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the judgment aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof: And the said William Gwynn by his attorneys aforesaid says that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of Decree aforesaid, there is manifest error in this to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Allen Thomas against the said William Gwynn when by the law of the land that decree ought to have been given for the said William Gwynn against the said Allen Thomas, therefore in that there is manifest error: and he prays that the Decree aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled and held entirely as void, and that he the said William Gwynn may be restored to all things which he hath lostby occasion of the said Decree, and that the said Allen Thomas may rejoin to the errors aforesaid, and so forth: And thereupon it is ordered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Allen Thomas join in the errors by the said William Gwynn

above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here said be rendered against him in default thereof: and the said Allen Thomas by his attorney aforesaid, says that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid, and he prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said William Gwynn above for error assigned, and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued, before the Court of Appeals here until the second Monday in June next: the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises, and so forth. At which said second Monday in June being the ninth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties by their attorneys aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here, on the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not, and so forth. At which said first Monday in December being the first day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid: Thereupon further process of and upon the premises aforesaid, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided, further continued, before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next: the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises, and so forth. At which said second Monday in June being the eighth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty nine come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties, by their attorneys aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not, and so forth. At which said first Monday in December being the seventh day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and ~~twenty~~ nine, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties, by their attorneys aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not, and so forth. And now at this day, to wit, the said second Monday in June being the fourteenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty come again into the Court of Appeals here as well the said William Gwynn by Reverdy Johnson and William Gwynn his attorneys as the said Allen Thomas by Alexander C. Magruder and Thomas S. Alexander his attorneys. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the Decree