record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against him in default thereof. And the said Adam Poland by his attorney aforesaid, prays that a day may be given to him to join in the errors by the said Edward Hagthrop above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the first Monday in December next: and to him it is granted; the same day is also given to the said Edward Hagthrop likewise. At which said first Monday in December being the seventh day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty nine which said day was given to the said Adam Poland to join in the errors by the said Edward Hagthrop above assigned, come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid: and the said Adam Poland by his attorney aforesaid, says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid, and he prays that the Court of Appeal here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said Edward Hagthrop above for error assigned, and that the said Decree may be in all things affirmed, and so forth. Whereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next; the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises and so forth: And now at this day to wit, the said second Monday in June being the fourteenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid: and the said Adam Poland by his attorney aforesaid, offers himself against the said Edward Hagthrop of and upon the premises, and prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters above for error assigned and that the said Decree may be in all things affirmed and so forth: and for as much as the said Edward Hagthrop doth not allege to the Court of Appeals here, any matter or thing for the reversal of the Decree aforesaid, nor insist upon the matters aforesaid, by him above for error assigned. Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the Decree aforesaid in form aforesaid given, be in all things affirmed, and stand in full force and effect, the said causes above for error assigned in anywise notwithstanding: And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Adam Poland have execution against the said Edward Hagthrop as well for the costs and charges aforesaid, so as aforesaid adjudged unto him in the said Court of Chancery as also for the sum of fourteen dollars and seventy seven cents adjudged unto him by the Court of Appeals here, on his assent for his costs and charges, which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the Decree aforesaid by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Edward Hagthrop for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted and so forth.

Memorandum. Decree was affirmed in this case and made absolute on the fifteenth day of July eighteen hundred and thirty.

Test. John Johnson clk

James Lamar
vs
Gerard T. Hopkins,
Benjamin P. Moore &
Samuel Childs

Be it remembered, that now here in the second Monday in June being the eighth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and twenty nine the Chancellor of Maryland in pursuance of the direction of the act of assembly in such case made and provided, transmits to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a certain cause which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery between Gerard T. Hopkins, Benjamin P. Moore and Samuel Childs complainants, and James Lamar defendant, and wherein the said Court of Chancery passed a Decree for the said Gerard T. Hopkins, Benjamin P. Moore and Samuel Childs against the said James Lamar and from which said Decree the said James Lamar appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following to wit:

(See record bound up in Book I. I. No. 2.)

And now come into the Court of Appeals here as well the said James Lamar by Alexander Maundee his attorney, as the said Gerard T. Hopkins, Benjamin P. Moore and Samuel Childs by John Johnson their attorney: and thereupon, on motion of the said Gerard T. Hopkins, Benjamin P. Moore and Samuel Childs by their attorney aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court of Appeal here, that the said James Lamar assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof: And the said James Lamar by his attorney aforesaid, says, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of Decree aforesaid, there is manifest error in this to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the Decree aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Gerard T. Hopkins, Benjamin P. Moore and Samuel Childs against the said James Lamar when by the law of the land that Decree ought to have been given for the said James Lamar against the said Gerard T. Hopkins, Benjamin P. Moore and Samuel Childs therefore in that there is manifest error: and he prays that the Decree aforesaid for that error, and others, being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled, and held entirely as void, and that he the said James Lamar may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said Decree, and that the said Gerard T. Hopkins, Benjamin P. Moore and Samuel Childs may rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth: And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Gerard T. Hopkins, Benjamin P. Moore and Samuel Childs join in the errors by the said James Lamar above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against them in default thereof: And the said Gerard T. Hopkins, Benjamin P. Moore and Samuel Childs by their attorney aforesaid, pray that a day may be given to them to join in the errors by the said James Lamar above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the first Monday in December next; and to them it is granted: the same day is also given to the said James Lamar likewise. At which said first Monday in December being the seventh day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty nine which said day was given to the said Gerard T. Hopkins, Benjamin P. Moore and Samuel Childs to join in the errors by the said James Lamar above assigned, come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid: and the said Gerard T. Hopkins, Benjamin P. Moore and Samuel Childs by their attorney aforesaid, say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid, and they pray that