Walter Pierpoint, Richard Robson and Margaret his wife, Adam Wallemyer and Rachel his wife, Samuel Chase, Allen Thomas, Randall H. Moale and John H. Rogers by their attorney aforesaid say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid, and they pray that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid by the said Benedict Pierpoint above for error assigned, and that the said Decree may be in all things affirmed and so forth. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next: the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth. At which said second Monday in June being the eighth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty nine, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties by their attornies aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here, on the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises for that the Court now here as yet are not, and so forth: At which said first Monday in December being the seventh day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty nine come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties by their attornies aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not and so forth. And now at this day, to wit, the said second Monday in June being the fourteenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty come again into the Court of Appeals here as well the said Benedict Pierpoint by Nicholas Brewer Junior and Thomas S. Alexander his attornies as also the said Walter Pierpoint, Richard Robson and Margaret his wife, Adam Wallemyer and Rachel his wife, Samuel Chase, Allen Thomas, Randall H. Moale and John H. Rogers by Samuel Moale and Charles F. Mayer their attornies. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the decree given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said Benedict Pierpoint above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had: for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the Decree aforesaid: Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here that the Decree aforesaid, given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect the said causes for error above assigned and alleged in anywise notwithstanding: And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Walter Pierpoint, Richard Robson and Margaret his wife, Adam Wallemyer and Rachel his wife, Samuel Chase, Allen Thomas, Randall H. Moale and John H. Rogers have execution against the said Benedict Pierpoint as well for the costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto them in the said Court of Chancery as also the sum of thirty nine dollars and eighty cents

adjudged unto the said Walter Pierpoint, Richard Robson and Margaret his wife, Adam Wallemyer and Rachel his wife, Samuel Chase, Allen Thomas, Randall H. Moale and John H. Rogers by the Court of Appeals here on their assent for their costs and charges which they have sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the Decree aforesaid, by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Benedict Pierpoint for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted and so forth.

Test. John Johnson Clk.

Regin Hammond vs Thomas A. Norris.

Be it remembered, that heretofore to wit, on the first day of July in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty six Regin Hammond by Nicholas Brewer Junior his attorney prosecuted and sued forth out of the Court of Chancery of the State of Maryland, the writ of the said State for the correcting of errors, directed to the Honorable the Judges of the third Judicial District in the words and of the tenor following, viz: Maryland Sc. The State of Maryland, To the Honorable the Judges of the third Judicial District, Greeting. Because in the record and process, and also in the rendering of Judgment, in a certain action brought by Thomas A. Norris against Regin Hammond in Anne Arundel county Court for the sum of nine hundred dollars current money debt and six dollars fifty one and two third cents costs, to be released on payment of one hundred and eighteen dollars, seventy three cents current money with interest thereon from the fourth day of December 1820 and sixteen dollars and eighty eight and two third cents current money and the said costs, at a County Court held before you our said Judges at April Term 1826, manifest error as it is said, hath happened to the great damage of the said Regin Hammond as of his complaint we have received: And we being willing that the errors if any there be, should be duly corrected, and full and speedy justice done to the said Regin Hammond in this behalf command you, that if judgment be thereof rendered, that then the record and process aforesaid, with all things thereunto relating, under your seals, distinctly and openly you send, and this writ, so that the same may be had before the Judges of our High Court of Appeals at the City of Annapolis, on the first Monday of December next, that the record and process aforesaid, being inspected into, we may further do therein, for correcting that error, what of right, and according to the laws and customs of this State ought to be done. Witness the Honorable Theodorick Bland, Chancellor, this first day of July eighteen hundred and twenty six.

Test. Ramsay Waters Regr. Cancr.

At which mentioned first Monday in December being the fourth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty six and the day of the return of the foregoing writ, the Judges of the third Judicial District, to whom the said writ was in form aforesaid directed in pursuance thereof, and according to the form and effect of the Statute in such case made and provided certify and transmit to the Court of Appeals here, together with the said writ, a record of the proceedings of the judgment in the said writ mentioned, and which said record is in form following, to wit:

Maryland, Anne Arundel County, to wit:

At a County Court of the third Judicial District of the State of Maryland, begun and held at the City of Annapolis in and for Anne Arundel county aforesaid on the third