And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said Rezin Hammond by Nicholas Brewer Junior his attorney, as the said Thomas A Nonis by William H Marriott his attorney: and thereupon, on motion of the said Thomas A Nonis by his attorney aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said Rezin Hammond assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid or in the giving the judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof: And the said Rezin Hammond by his attorney aforesaid, says, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid, there is manifest error in this to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Thomas A Nonis against the said Rezin Hammond when by the law of the land that judgment ought to have been given for the said Rezin Hammond against the said Thomas A Nonis therefore in that there is manifest error; and he prays that the judgment aforesaid for that error and others, being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled and held entirely as void, and that he the said Rezin Hammond may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said judgment, and that the said Thomas A Nonis may reply to the errors aforesaid and so forth: And thereupon it is ruled, by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Thomas A Nonis join in the errors by the said Rezin Hammond above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against him in default thereof. and the said Thomas A Nonis by his attorney aforesaid, says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid, and he prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said Rezin Hammond above for error assigned, and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed, and so forth. Thereupon further process of and the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued, before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next: the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises, and so forth. And now at this day to wit, the said Second Monday in June being the eleventh day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty seven come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid: and the said Thomas A Nonis by his attorney aforesaid offers himself against the said Rezin Hammond of and upon the premises, and prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters above for error assigned, and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth; and for as much as the said Rezin Hammond doth not allege to the Court of Appeals here, any matter or thing for the reversal of the judgment aforesaid, nor insist upon the matters aforesaid, by him above for error assigned: Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the judgment aforesaid in form aforesaid given, be in all things affirmed, and stand in full force and effect, the said causes above for error assigned, in anywise notwithstanding: and it is further

considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Thomas A Nonis have execution against the said Rezin Hammond as well for the debt, damages costs and charges aforesaid, so as aforesaid adjudged unto him in the said County Court of Anne Arundel as also for the sum of ten dollars and twelve cents adjudged unto him by the Court of Appeals here, on his accent for his costs and charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the judgment aforesaid by pretext of the prosecution of the said writ of error by the said Rezin Hammond for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted, and so forth.

Memorandum. Judgment was affirmed nisi in this case and made absolute on the twentieth day of July eighteen hundred and twenty seven and execution awarded for the sum of nine hundred dollars current money, debt, six dollars, fifty two and two third cents costs and costs. To be released on payment of one hundred and eighteen dollars and seventy three cents with interest from the fifth of December eighteen hundred and twenty seven sixteen dollars, eighty eight and two third cents, and the above costs and costs. Stay of execution until the end of December Term eighteen hundred and twenty seven.

Test. John Johnson Clk.

Thomas A Nonis vs Rezin Hammond

Be it remembered, that heretofore, to wit, on the sixth day of December in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty seven Thomas A Nonis by William H Marriott his attorney prosecuted and sued forth out of the Court of Appeals here, a writ of the State of Maryland of Fieri facias to the Sheriff of Anne Arundel county directed, in the words and figures following to wit: Maryland Sc. The State of Maryland, to the Sheriff of Anne Arundel county Greeting Whereas at (Seal) a County Court, begun and held at Annapolis in and for Anne Arundel county on the third Monday of April in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty six a certain Thomas A Nonis by the judgment of the said Court, recovered against a certain Rezin Hammond late of Anne Arundel county Gentleman otherwise called Rezin Hammond of Anne Arundel county and State of Maryland as well the sum of nine hundred dollars current money, a certain debt, as the sum of six dollars fifty three cents and two thirds of a cent for his damages which he had sustained, as well by reason of the detention of that debt as for his costs and charges by him about his suit in that behalf laid out and expended, whereof the said Rezin Hammond is convicted as by inspecting the record of proceedings of the same Court brought before the Court of Appeals for the Western Shore, at the City of Annapolis, by reason of errors alleged to have been therein, manifestly appears: And whereas it hath been adjudged in the said Court of Appeals, at the City of Annapolis aforesaid, on the second Monday in June in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty seven that the said judgment given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and ratified, and that the said Thomas A Nonis might have execution against the said Rezin Hammond for as well the debt, damages costs and charges aforesaid, as adjudged unto him in the said County Court, as also for the sum of ten dollars and twelve cents for his damages, costs and charges by him sustained by reason of delaying the execution of the judgment aforesaid, by means of the prosecuting a certain writ of the said State by the said Rezin Hammond before the said Court of Appeals, for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises, whereof the said Rezin Hammond