Thomas S. Alexander his attorney, as the said Samuel Godfrey, Joseph Hardesty, John Scott, Cassandra Lafaurey, Isaac Sams and Nathaniel Elliott by William Lurgin, Charles M'Dowey and Reverdy Johnson their attorneys, and therefore, in behalf of the said Samuel Godfrey, Joseph Hardesty, John Scott, Cassandra Lafaurey, Isaac Sams and Nathaniel Elliott by their attorneys aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said John Fairclaim Lessee as aforesaid assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the judgment aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, a judgment by the Court of appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof: and the said John Fairclaim Lessee as aforesaid by his attorney aforesaid, says that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of judgment aforesaid, there is manifest error in this to wit that by the record aforesaid it appears that the judgment aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Samuel Godfrey, Joseph Hardesty, John Scott, Cassandra Lafaurey, Isaac Sams and Nathaniel Elliott against the said John Fairclaim Lessee as aforesaid, when by the law of the land that judgment ought to have been given for the said John Fairclaim Lessee as aforesaid against the said Samuel Godfrey, Joseph Hardesty, John Scott, Cassandra Lafaurey, Isaac Sams and Nathaniel Elliott, therefore in that there is manifest error; and he prays that the judgment aforesaid for that error, and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid may be reversed, annulled and held entirely as void, and that he the said John Fairclaim Lessee as aforesaid may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said judgment, and that the said Samuel Godfrey, Joseph Hardesty, John Scott, Cassandra Lafaurey, Isaac Sams and Nathaniel Elliott may reply to the errors aforesaid, and so forth: And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of appeals here that the said Samuel Godfrey, Joseph Hardesty, John Scott, Cassandra Lafaurey, Isaac Sams and Nathaniel Elliott join in the errors by the said John Fairclaim Lessee as aforesaid above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of appeals here, will be rendered against them in default thereof, and the said Samuel Godfrey, Joseph Hardesty, John Scott, Cassandra Lafaurey, Isaac Sams and Nathaniel Elliott by their attorneys aforesaid, pray that a day may be given to them to join in the errors by the said John Fairclaim Lessee as aforesaid above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the first Monday in December next; and to them it is granted; the same day is also given to the said John Fairclaim Lessee as aforesaid likewise.

At which said first Monday in December being the seventh day of

the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty nine which said day was given to the said Samuel Godfrey, Joseph Hardesty, John Scott, Cassandra Lafaurey, Isaac Sams and Nathaniel Elliott to join in the errors by the said John Fairclaim Lessee as aforesaid above assigned, come again into the Court of appeals here, the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid: and the said Samuel Godfrey, Joseph Hardesty, John Scott, Cassandra Lafaurey, Isaac Sams and Nathaniel Elliott by their attorneys aforesaid, say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the judgment aforesaid, and they pray that the Court of appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said John Fairclaim Lessee as aforesaid above for error assigned, and that the said judgment may be in all things affirmed and so forth. Whereupon further process of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided further continued, before the Court of appeals here, until the second Monday in June next: the same day is given to the said parties, then there to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here, of and upon the said premises and so forth.

At which said second Monday in June being the fourteenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty, come again into the Court of appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid: But because the Court of appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties, by their attorneys aforesaid, to come before the Court of appeals here on the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not and so forth.

At which said first Monday in December being the sixth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty come again into the Court of appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attorneys aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties, by their attorneys aforesaid, before the Court of appeals here, until the second Monday in June next, to hear the judgment of the Court of appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not, and so forth.

And now at this day to wit, the said second Monday in June being the thirteenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one