on the second Monday in June next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not and so forth. At which said second Monday in June being the thirteenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties by their attornies aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not and so forth. And now at this day to wit, the said first Monday in December being the fifth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty one, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the decree given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said John Roberts, Isaac G Roberts, and John Gooding above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had: It was adjudged and ordered that the appeal in this cause be dismissed with costs. And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said James Salisbury and others have execution against the said John Roberts, Isaac G Roberts and John Gooding for the sum of forty dollars and two cents adjudged unto the said James Salisbury and others by the Court of Appeals here on their assent for their costs and charges which they had sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the decree aforesaid, by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said John Roberts, Isaac G Roberts and John Gooding for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted, and so forth.

Test. John Johnson Clk

William S Winder and Araminta his wife vs John Diffenderffer, Amelia Diffenderffer, Michael Diffenderffer & Charles R Diffenderffer	Be it remembered, That now here on the first Monday in December being the seventh day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty nine the Chancellor of Maryland, in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmits to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a certain cause which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery between William S Winder and Araminta his wife complainants and John Diffenderffer, Amelia Diffenderffer, Michael Diffenderffer and Charles R Diffenderffer defendants

wherein the said Court of Chancery passed a decree for the said John Diffenderffer, Amelia Diffenderffer, Michael Diffenderffer and Charles R Diffenderffer against the said William S Winder and Araminta his wife, and from which said decree the said William S Winder and Araminta his wife appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following to wit:

(See record bound up in Book JJ No 1 S.)

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said William S Winder and Araminta his wife by Reverdy Johnson, John Glenn and Charles Mitchell their attornies, as the said John, Amelia, Michael and Charles R Diffenderffer by Roger B Taney, William Wirt and George Winchester their attornies; and thereupon, on motion of the said John, Amelia, Michael, and Charles R Diffenderffer by their attornies aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said William S Winder and Araminta his wife assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving of the decree aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against them in default thereof: And the said William S Winder and Araminta his wife by their attornies aforesaid say that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of decree aforesaid, there is manifest error in this Court, that by the record aforesaid, it appears that the decree aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said John, Amelia, Michael and Charles R Diffenderffer against the said William S Winder and Araminta his wife when by the law of the land that decree ought to have been given for the said William S Winder and Araminta his wife against the said John, Amelia, Michael and Charles R Diffenderffer, therefore in that there is manifest error; and they pray that the decree aforesaid, for that error, and others, being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled, and held entirely as void, and that they the said William S Winder and Araminta his wife may be restored to all things which they have lost by occasion of the said decree, and that the said John, Amelia, Michael and Charles R Diffenderffer may rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth: And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said John, Amelia, Michael and Charles R Diffenderffer join in the errors by the said William S Winder and Araminta his wife assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against them in default thereof. And the said John, Amelia, Michael and Charles R Diffenderffer by their attornies aforesaid, pray that a day may be given to them to join in the errors by the said William S Winder and Araminta his wife above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the second Monday in June next: and to them