December Term 1831

Winder and Araminta his wife above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had: for that it appears to the Court of Appeals that there is error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and in the grounds of the decree aforesaid. Thereupon the Court of Appeals here proceeds to pass such decree as the Court of Chancery ought to have passed.

The above case and all the proceedings therein, together with the arguments of the Solicitors of the parties, having been fully considered it appearing to this Court, that the decree of the Chancellor, as far the appeal of John Diffenderffer is concerned is correct, but that therein as far as the appeal of Winder and wife is concerned is erroneous & should be reversed, and this Court proceeding to pass such order in the premises as it appears to them from the whole case, should to been passed by the Chancellor. It is therefore on this ninth day of December in the year one thousand eight hundred and thirty, by this Court, and by the authority thereof, adjudged, ordered and decreed that the appellant John Diffenderffer pay to the appellees William S Winder and Araminta his wife, the complainants in the Court of Chancery, the sum of sixteen thousand seven hundred and forty two dollars and ninety two cents ($16742-92/100) with interest thereon from the date of this decree until paid. And it is further adjudged ordered and decreed that the said John Diffenderffer pay unto the appellee in the first appeal Amelia A Diffenderffer, the sum of six thousand five hundred eighty dollars and ninety cents ($6580 90/100) with interest thereon from date of this decree until paid. And it is further adjudged ordered and decreed, that the said John Diffenderffer pay unto one of the other appellees in the first appeal Michael A Diffenderffer the sum of six thousand five hundred and eighty dollars and ninety cents ($6580 90/100) with interest thereon from the date of this decree until paid. And it is further adjudged and decreed, that the said John Diffenderffer pay to one of the other appellees in the first appeal, Charles A Diffenderffer, the sum of six thousand five hundred and eighty dollars and ninety cents ($6580) with interest thereon from the date of this decree until paid. And is further adjudged ordered and decreed, that the said John Diffenderffer pay to the said Winder and Araminta his wife all the costs in an equity allowed them by the Chancellors decree, together with all the costs in this Court either upon their appeal, or upon the appeal said John Diffenderffer, as also the sum of $14, allowed by the Court to the Auditor as costs for his report made in this case to the Court under their special order. And that the said John Diffenderffer also pay to the said Amelia A Diffenderffer, Michael A Diffenderffer and Charles

December Term 1831.

Diffenderffer all the costs allowed them by the decree of the Chancellor in the Court of Chancery.

Test John Johnson Clk.

John Diffenderffer vs William S Winder & wife.	Cross Appeals. See the foregoing proceedings

Test John Johnson Clk.

John Glenn adm^r of Thomas W Hall and Julius Hall vs Sarah Hall	Be it remembered, That now here on the first Monday in December being the seventh day of the said month, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and twenty nine, the Chancellor of Maryland, in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmit to the Court of Appeals here the record

proceedings of a certain cause which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery between Sarah Hall complainant, and John Glenn administrator of Thomas W Hall and Julius Hall defendants, and wherein the said Court of Chancery passed a decree for the said Sarah Hall against the said John Glenn administrator of Thomas W Hall and Julius Hall, and from which said decree, the said John Glenn administrator as aforesaid and Julius Hall appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following, to wit:

(See record bound up in Book JJ No A 5.)

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said John Glenn administrator of Thomas W Hall and Julius Hall by John Glenn their attorney, the said Sarah Hall by Alexander C Magruder and James Murray her attorneys and thereupon, on motion of the said Sarah Hall by her attorneys aforesaid, [illegible] by the Court here that the said John Glenn administrator as aforesaid [illegible] Hall assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the [illegible] decree aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals [illegible] correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or decree by the Court of Appeals [illegible] will be entered against them in default thereof: And the said John [illegible] administrator as aforesaid and Julius Hall by their attorney aforesaid [illegible] that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of decree [illegible] aforesaid, there is manifest error in this Court, that by the record aforesaid it [illegible] appears that the decree aforesaid in the plea aforesaid given, was given for [illegible] said Sarah Hall against the said John Glenn administrator as afore[illegible] said and Julius Hall, when by the law of the land that decree ought to [illegible] have been given for the said John Glenn administrator as aforesaid and