December Term 1831.

Julius Hall against the said Sarah Hall therefore in that there is manifest error, and they pray that the decree aforesaid for that error and others, being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled and held entirely as void, and that they the said John Glenn administrator as aforesaid as Julius Hall may be restored to all things which they have lost by occasion of the said decree, and that the said Sarah Hall may rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Sarah Hall join in the errors by the said John Glenn administrator as aforesaid and Julius Hall above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or decree by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against him in default thereof. And the said Sarah Hall by her attornies aforesaid, pray that a day may be given to her to join in the errors by the said John Glenn administrator as aforesaid and Julius Hall above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the second Monday in June next; and to hear it is granted; the same day is also given to the said John Glenn administrator as aforesaid and Julius Hall likewise and so forth.

At which said second Monday in June being the fourteenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty, which said day was given to the said Sarah Hall to join in the errors by the said John Glenn administrator as aforesaid and Julius Hall above assigned, come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid; and the said Sarah Hall by her attornies aforesaid, says there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid, and she prays that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said John Glenn administrator as aforesaid and Julius Hall above for error assigned and that the said decree may be in all things affirmed, and so forth. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of assembly in such case made and provided, further continued, before the Court of Appeals here, until the first Monday in December next; the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises, and so forth.

At which said first Monday in December being the sixth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, until the with the consent of the

December Term 1831.

said parties, by their attornies aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here on the second Monday in June next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not advised thereof.

At which said second Monday in June being the thirteenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty one, came again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties, by their attornies aforesaid, before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, that the Court now here as yet are not advised thereof.

And now at this day to wit, the said second Monday in December being the fifth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty one, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid, whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the decree given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said John Glenn administrator as aforesaid and Julius Hall above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had; for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and in the giving of the decree: Therefore the Court of Appeals here proceeded to pass such a decree as the Court of Chancery ought to have passed.

This cause coming on to be heard was argued by the Solicitors of the respective parties, and has since been fully considered, and it appearing to this Court that the appellee was not entitled to dower in the lands and premises in the proceedings mentioned, and that therefore the decree of the Chancellor is erroneous. It is therefore on this 22d day of December in the year 1831, by this Court, and by the authority thereof, adjudged, ordered and decreed, that the decree of the Chancellor in said case, be and the same is hereby reversed, and that the bill of complaint of the appellee be and the same is hereby dismissed with costs to the appellants as well in the Court of Chancery as in this Court.

Test John Johnson Clk.

Joseph K Bowman
Priscilla Hall, Eleanor Bowman and Julius Hall
vs
Sarah Hall

Be it remembered, That now here on the first Monday in December being the seventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty nine the Chancellor of Maryland, in pursuance of the directions of the act of assembly in such case made and provided, transmits to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a certain cause, which was