December Term 1831

1st Do you know the parties to the present suit?
Answer. We does.
2nd Do you Know any thing about a contract entered into in the year 1817 between the complainant and defendant, by which the complainant sold to the defendant certain tracts or parts of tracts of land lying in Prince Georges County; state also what conversation you have had with the defendant respecting the same?
Answers that in the year 1828 this deponent was sent by the complainant to the defendant, to inform the defendant that the complainant wanted him to make a payment on account of said land, that the defendant told your respondent to inform the complainant he was sorry he could not make him a payment at that time, that his Tob.o had been shipped, and that Mr Chew told him, it was sold at a very high price; that the defendant either told this deponent that on the receipt of the proceeds of his Tob.o he would pay the complainant sixteen or eighteen hundred dollars, or that the amount of the proceeds of his Tob.o would be that sum, he does not perfectly recollect which, but he is certain he told him he would be enable to settle with the complainant as soon as he received the proceeds of his Tob.o.
Interrogatories put to George A Orme on the part of the complainant (6)
1st Do you Know the parties to the present suit?
Answer. He does.
2nd Do you Know the lands in Prince Georges County sold by the complainant to the defendant in the year 1817? if so state what you think they were worth at the time of said sale, state what, if any conversation you may have had with the defendant respecting the valued of said lands and what he was to give for the same?
Answers. That in the beginning of eighteen hundred and twenty five in conversation with the defendant, the defendant informed him that he gave eight dollars per acre for the land he lived on; that this deponent had purchased a few days before a tract of land for the price of five dollars and fifty six cents per acre, which deponent considered not unreasonable; he was conversing with the defendant about the price he had paid, when the defendant stated that he thought this deponent had paid too much, for that he the defendant had only given eight dollars per acre for the land on which he resided, and he thought one acre of his worth three of the land purchased by this deponent; that the land the defendant then lived on is the land he purchased of the complainant, and on which he now resides.

At the execution of a commission issued out of Prince Georges county Court sitting as a court of Equity this third day of October 1827 directed to Thomas Bruce of Prince Georges County empowering him to examining

December Term 1831.

evidences in a cause there pending between Thomas Mundell complainant and Leonard Watson defendant, I Thomas Bruce the commissioner in the said commission named, having met this sixth day of January eighteen hundred and twenty eight at Nottingham in the county aforesaid, pursuant to notice to the parties, and taken the oath to the said commission annexed, and William H I Bowell being appointed Clerk, and having likewise taken the oath to the said commission annexed, the commissioner proceeded to take the depositions of Philemon Chew, Josias Young, James Robinson, Hugh Perrie, James Baden, William Williams, George A Orme, Alexander Gibbons, John Tarvin, John T Baden, William A Turlow, William C Watson, Leonard B Watson, Thomas A Baden of lawful age, they being first duly sworn on the Holy Evangely of Almighty God, the interrogatories put to each, with the respective answers thereto being hereunto annexed. Thos.o Bruce (Seal)

Thomas Mundell vs Leonard Watson.

In Prince Georges county court as a court of Chancery.
Interrogatories on the part of the Respondent
1st Are you acquainted with the parties to this suit, and how long have you known them?
2d Do you know any thing relating to a contract made between the said parties for the sale to the respondent of the following parts of tracts of land viz.t part of "Taylston" part of "Quicksale" and part of "Archers Pasture"? if yea state the same fully and particularly?
3d Are not parts of the lands which the complainant contracted to sell to Respondent at present claimed and held by Hugh and Lloyd Perrie and Henry Compton, and were they not so claimed and held at the time of said sale?
4th What number of acres of the lands sold as aforesaid and claimed and held by the said Perries and Compton, and is not the same the most valuable portion of the lands sold?
5. Do you Know any matter or thing material to the respondent, relating to this controversy? if yea state all you Know.

Thomas Mundell vs Leonard Watson.

In Prince Georges County court as a court of Equity - The commission in the above cause was opened at Nottingham pursuant to notice given to the parties therein named, on Saturday the sixth day of January 1828. when the following witnesses were cross examined on the part of the complainant, namely, Alexander Gibbons, and William C Watson.

Alexander Gibbons being duly sworn answers as follows to the defendants Interrogatory.
He does not know that the lands held by the Perries and Compton were included in the purchase by the defendant of the complainant, but that the line of the survey ran through the part of the lands sold and held by the Perries and Compton.

William C Watson being duly sworn answers as follows.
1st Interrogatory - Do you not live on the lands so sold by the complainant to the defendant, and how long?