the said parties, that they to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the said premises and so forth.

At which said second Monday in June being the thirteenth day of the said Month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty one, came again into the Court of Appeals here as well the said John Dennis Lefevre as aforesaid by Joseph I. Speed and Robert A. Martin his attorneys, as also the said John Archer, Herman Stump and James Stephenson by Otho Scott, Reverdy Johnson and Albert Constable their attorneys, and the said parties by their attorneys aforesaid files in Court here an agreement in the words and figures following to wit:

Baxter Stripes Lefevre vs Archer and others.

Court of Appeals June Term 1831

It is agreed that the case stated in this case and which the judgment of the Court below was rendered be amended, by incorporating into it the following facts, to have the same effect as if they had made a part of such case stated before said judgt was rendered, that is to say "that Hannah, Sarah and Caroline Giles three of the said devisees of Nathan Giles were living at the execution of the deed from Elizabeth Giles to John Stump. That Charlotte Giles, one other of said devisees died an infant, and without issue, before the year 1797. That Hannah died in 1813, Caroline in 1816 and Sarah in 1824 and that these children are the only heirs at law of Elizabeth.

R. Johnson for Appellee
R. A. Martin for Appelts.

29 Nov. 1831.

But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties, by their attorneys aforesaid, to come before the Court of Appeals here, on the first Monday of December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the premises, for that the Court here as yet are not and so forth.

And now at this day to wit, the said first Monday in December being the fifth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty one, came again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attorneys aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the judgment given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said John Denis Lefevre as aforesaid above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and maturé deliberation thereupon had; for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid: Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here that the judgment aforesaid, given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed, and stand in full force and effect. The said causes for error above assigned and alleged in any wise notwithstanding: And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said John Archer, Herman Stump and James Stephenson have execution against the said John Denis Lefevre as

aforesaid, as well for the damages costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto them in the said County Court of Stanford, as also the sum of thirty five dollars and fifty five cents adjudged unto the said John Archer, Herman Stump and James Stephenson by the Court of Appeals here on their account for their costs and charges which they have sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the judgment aforesaid, by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said John Denis Lefevre as aforesaid for the correcting of error of and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted and so forth.

Test John Johnson Clk.

Peter Emerson vs Thomas Jeffery

Be it remembered, That heretofore, to wit, on the thirtieth day of July in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fourteen Peter Emerson by Thomas H. Bowie his attorney prosecuted and sued forth out of the Court of Chancery of the State of Maryland, the writ of the said State for the correcting of errors directed to the Honorable the Judges of the Third Judicial District, in these words and of the tenor following to wit:

State of Maryland Calvert County Sct.

At a County Court begun and held at Prince Frederick Town in and for Calvert County on the second Monday of May being the ninth day of the same month Anno Domini 1814.

Present.

The Honorable. Richard H. Harwood, Richard Ridgely } Esquires Associate Judges.

Marcham Parker Esq. Sheriff. William S. Worsell Clk.

In the record of the proceedings of the said Court amongst other things were the following to wit:

T. H. W. Thomas Jeffery vs T. H. B. Peter Emerson

And now here at this day to wit, the thirteenth day of May in the year one thousand eight hundred and fourteen appears here in Court Thomas Jeffery by Thomas H. Wilkinson his attorney and declares against the said Peter Emerson in the manner and form following to wit:

State of Maryland, Calvert County to wit, Peter Emerson late of Calvert County yeoman otherwise called Peter Emerson of Calvert County, was summoned to answer unto Thomas Jeffery in a plea, that he render unto him the sum of six hundred and fifty pounds current money which he owes and unjustly detains from him and so forth. And whereupon the said Thomas by Thomas H. Wilkinson his attorney saith, that whereas the said Peter on the third day of June in the year seventeen hundred and ninety one at the County aforesaid, by his certain writing obligatory acknowledged themselves to be held and firmly bound unto him the said Thomas in the sum of six hundred and fifty pounds current