June Term 1832.

And now at this day to wit, the said second Monday in June being the eleventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty two, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid by their attornies aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the decree given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid by the said Stephen Severson and Sarah his wife above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had; for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and in the giving of the decree aforesaid. Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here that the decree aforesaid, for these errors and others in the record of proceedings aforesaid, be reversed, annulled and held entirely for void, and that the said Stephen Severson and Sarah his wife be restored to all things which by reason of the decree aforesaid they have lost, and that this cause be remanded for further proceedings &c. It is also considered by the Court here that the said Stephen Severson and Sarah his wife recover against the said Elijah Tayloe the sum of thirty four dollars and forty seven cents adjudged unto the said Stephen Severson and Sarah his wife for their costs and charges by them sustained about the prosecution of their said appeal aforesaid upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted, and that the said Stephen Severson and Sarah his wife have thereof execution &c.

Test — John Johnson Clk.

William Henry Cockey by Elizabeth Arnold his mother and next friend, Solomon Arnold and Elizabeth his wife, Edward Cockesdale and Providence his wife

vs.

John Robert Cockey, George T. Warfield, Elias Brown Cockey & Mordecai T. Cockey.

Be it remembered, That now here, on the second Monday in June being the thirteenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty one the Chancellor of Maryland in pursuance of the direction of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmits to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a certain cause which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery between William Henry Cockey by Elizabeth Arnold his mother and next friend and others complainants and John Robert Cockey and others defendants, and wherein the said Court of Chancery passed a decree for the said John Robert Cockey and others against the said William Henry Cockey by Elizabeth Arnold his mother and next friend and others, and from which said decree the said William Henry Cockey by his mother and next friend and others appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following to wit:

(See record bound up in Book Dd No. 4 21)

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said William Henry Cockey by Elizabeth Arnold his mother and next friend and others by George W. Winchester

June Term 1832.

and J. Mason Campbell their attornies as the said John Robert Cockey and others by Roger B. Taney, John Purviance and Samuel Ridgely their attornies; and thereupon on motion of the said John Robert Cockey and others by their attornies aforesaid, it is ordered by the Court here, that the said William Henry Cockey by Elizabeth Arnold his mother and next friend and others assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the decree aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against them in default thereof. And the said William Henry Cockey by Elizabeth Arnold his mother and next friend and others by their attorney aforesaid say, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of decree aforesaid, there is manifest error in this Court, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the decree aforesaid in the form aforesaid given, was given for the said John Robert Cockey and others against the said William Henry Cockey by Elizabeth Arnold his mother and next friend and others, when by the law of the land that decree ought to have been given for the said William Henry Cockey by Elizabeth Arnold his mother and next friend and others against the said John Robert Cockey and others, therefore in that there is manifest error, and they pray that the decree aforesaid for that error, and others being in the record of proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled, and held entirely for void, and that they the said William Henry Cockey by Elizabeth Arnold his mother and next friend and others may be restored to all things which they have lost by occasion of the said decree, and that the said John Robert Cockey and others may rejoin to the errors aforesaid and so forth: And thereupon it is ordered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said John Robert Cockey and others join in the errors by the said William Henry Cockey by Elizabeth Arnold his mother and next friend and others above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be rendered against them in default thereof. And the said John Robert Cockey and others by their attornies aforesaid, pray that a day may be given to them to join in the errors by the said William Henry Cockey by Elizabeth Arnold and others above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the first Monday in December next; and to them it is granted: the same day is also given to the said William Henry Cockey by Elizabeth Arnold his mother and next friend and others.

At which said first Monday in December being the fifth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty one, which said day was given to the said John Robert Cockey and others to join in the errors by the said William Henry Cockey by Elizabeth Arnold his mother and next friend and others above assigned, come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid; and the said John Robert Cockey and others by their attornies aforesaid, say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid, and they pray that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination, as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of