the matters aforesaid by the said William Henry Cockey by Elizabeth Arnold his mother and next friend and others above for error assigned, and that the said decree may be in all things affirmed and so forth. Thereupon further proceeding of and upon the premises between the parties aforesaid is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here until the second Monday in June next, the same day is given to the said parties there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of, and upon the said premises and so forth.

And now at this day to wit, the said second Monday in June being the eleventh day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty two come again into the Court of Appeals here as well the said William Henry Cockey by Elizabeth Arnold his mother and next friend and Solomon J. Arnold and Elizabeth his wife, Edward Stockesdale and Providence his wife by George Winchester and Mason Campbell their attorneys, as also the said John Robert Cockey, George F. Mayfield, Elias Brown Cockey and Mordecai F. Cockey by Roger B. Taney, John Purviance and Samuel Moale their attorneys, and the said parties by their attorneys aforesaid file in Court here an agreement in the words and figures and of the tenor following to wit:

Wm. Henry Cockey & others vs John Robert Cockey & others.	Court of Appeals June T. 1832

It is agreed between the parties in this cause, that the record shall be amended by adding to it, the wills of A. C. Cockey and A. R. Cockey – a deed from A. C. Cockey to Jeremiah Tucker bearing date the 3d day of December 1822 – a deed from A. R. Cockey to W. H. Cockey dated the 6th January 1818 – a deed from W. H. Cockey to A. R. Cockey dated the 6th January 1818 – a deed from A. R. Cockey to W. H. Cockey 7th January 1818 and a deed from W. H. Cockey to A. R. Cockey of the same date.

J. Mason Campbell for Appellants
R. Johnson, R. B. Taney for Appellees.

Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the decree given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said William Henry Cockey by Elizabeth Arnold his mother and next friend and others above for error assigned being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and maturé deliberation thereupon had; for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here, that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid: Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here that the decree aforesaid given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned and alleged in anywise notwithstanding: And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said John Henry Cockey and others have execution against the said William Henry Cockey by Elizabeth Arnold his mother and next friend and others as well for their costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto

them in the said Court of Chancery, as also the sum of forty four dollars and seventy seven cents adjudged unto the said John Robert Cockey and others on their appeal for their costs and charges which they had sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the decree aforesaid, by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said William Henry Cockey by Elizabeth Arnold his mother and next friend and others for the correcting of errors of and upon the premises as aforesaid presented and so forth.

Memorandum – At the trial and argument of this cause the Honorable Thomas B. Dorsey, Judge in the chair from the bench &c.

Test John Johnson Clk.

Charles Carroll of Carrollton vs Marsham Waring Notley Young of Benja. Eleanor Blagett, Robert Y. Brent and others.	Be it remembered, that now here on the second Monday in June being the thirteenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord, one thousand eight hundred and thirty one, the Chancellor of Maryland in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmit to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a certain cause which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery between Charles Carroll of Carrollton complainant, and Marsham Waring and others defendants, and wherein the said Court of Chancery passed a decree for the said Marsham Waring and others against the said Charles Carroll of Carrollton, and from which said decree the said Charles Carroll of Carrollton appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following to wit

See record bound up in Book J. J. No. 4 W.

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said Charles Carroll of Carrollton by Joseph J. Speed his attorney, as the said Marsham Waring and others by Charles F. Fluker their attorney; and thereupon on motion of the said Marsham Waring and others by their attorney aforesaid, it is ordered by the Court here, that the said Charles Carroll of Carrollton assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or in the giving the decree aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against him in default thereof. And the said Charles Carroll of Carrollton by his attorney aforesaid says, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid and also in the giving of decree aforesaid, there is manifest error in this to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the decree aforesaid in the form aforesaid given, was given for the said Marsham Waring and others against the said Charles Carroll of Carrollton when by the law of the land that decree ought to have been given for the said Charles Carroll of Carrollton against the said Marsham Waring and others, therefore in that there is manifest error, and he prays that the decree aforesaid for that error and others being in the record of