proceedings aforesaid, may be reversed, annulled, and held entirely as void, and that he the said Charles Carroll of Carrollton may be restored to all things which he hath lost by occasion of the said decree, and that the said Marsham Waring and others may rejoin to the errors aforesaid, and so forth. And thereupon it is ruled by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Marsham Waring and others join in the errors by the said Charles Carroll of Carrollton above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here, will be rendered against them in default thereof. And the said Marsham Waring and others by their attorney aforesaid, pray that a day may be given to them to join in the errors by the said Charles Carroll of Carrollton above assigned in the record of proceedings aforesaid, until the first Monday in December next; and to them it is granted; the same day is also given to the said Charles Carroll of Carrollton.

At which said first Monday in December being the fifth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty one, which said day was given to the said Marsham Waring and others to join in the errors by the said Charles Carroll of Carrollton above assigned, come again into the Court of Appeals here, the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid; and the said Marsham Waring and others by their attorney aforesaid, say there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid, and they pray that the Court of Appeals here will proceed to the examination as well of the record of proceedings aforesaid, as of the matters aforesaid, by the said Charles Carroll of Carrollton above for error assigned, and that the said decree may be in all things affirmed and so forth. Thereupon further process of and upon the premises, between the parties aforesaid, is by virtue of an act of Assembly in such case made and provided, further continued before the Court of Appeals here, until the second Monday in June next; the same day is given to the said parties, there then to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here, of and upon the said premises and so forth.

And now at this day to wit, the said second Monday in June being the eleventh day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty two, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid. Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the decree given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said Charles Carroll of Carrollton above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had; for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here, that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid and in the giving of the decree aforesaid. Therefore the Court of Appeals here proceed to pass such a decree as the Chancellor ought to have passed.

"This cause has been argued before us by counsel and considered by the Court, and it appearing that there is error in that part of the decree of the Chancellor which imposed a fine on the complainant (the appellant) upon the hearing of the plea of the defendants. It is therefore this third day of July in the year

one thousand eight hundred and thirty two adjudged ordered and decreed by the Court of Appeals, that the said decree, so far as relates to the imposition of the said fine, be and the same is hereby reversed, and that the cause be remanded to the Court of Chancery for such further proceedings therein, as may be consistent with the views expressed by this Court upon the present appeal. And it is further adjudged ordered and decreed that the appellees pay to the appellant his costs incurred in this Court.

Test John Johnson Clk

David Stewart Trustee of Samuel Stone vs Jacob White, Samuel & Barbara Stone.	Be it remembered, that now here on the second Monday in June being the thirteenth day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty two, the Chancellor of Maryland, in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmits to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings

of a certain cause which was lately depending before him in the Court of Chancery between David Stewart Trustee of Samuel Stone complainant, and Jacob White, Samuel Stone and Barbara Stone defendants, and wherein the said Court of Chancery passed a decree for the said Jacob White, Samuel Stone and Barbara Stone against the said David Stewart Trustee as aforesaid, and from which said decree the said David Stewart Trustee as aforesaid appealed to the Court of Appeals here the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following to wit:

(See record bound up in Book Id No. 42)

And now come into the Court of Appeals here, as well the said David Stewart Trustee as aforesaid by David Stewart and James Boyle his attornies, as the said Jacob White, Samuel Stone and Barbara Stone by John Scott their attorney; and thereupon on motion of the said Jacob White, Samuel Stone and Barbara Stone by their attorney aforesaid, it is ruled by the Court here, that the said David Stewart Trustee as aforesaid assign the errors in the record of proceedings aforesaid or in the giving the decree aforesaid, so as aforesaid brought before the Court of Appeals here, for correcting the errors supposed to be therein, or judgment by the Court of Appeals here will be entered against them in default thereof: And the said David Stewart Trustee as aforesaid by his attornies aforesaid, says, that in the record of proceedings aforesaid, and also in the giving of decree aforesaid, there is manifest error in this to wit, that by the record aforesaid it appears that the decree aforesaid, in the plea aforesaid given, was given for the said Jacob White, Samuel Stone and Barbara Stone against the said David Stewart Trustee as aforesaid, when by the law of the land that decree ought to have been given for the said David Stewart Trustee as aforesaid against the said Jacob White, Samuel Stone and Barbara Stone, therefore in that there is manifest error, and he prays that the decree aforesaid, for that