their attornies aforesaid: But because the Court of Appeals here are not yet advised what judgment to give of and upon the premises, a day therefore is further given to the parties aforesaid, with the consent of the said parties by their attornys aforesaid before the Court of Appeals here until the first Monday in December next, to hear the judgment of the Court of Appeals here of and upon the premises, for that the Court now here as yet are not &c.

And now at this day to wit, the said first Monday in December being the third day of the said month, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty two, come again into the Court of Appeals here the parties aforesaid, by their attornies aforesaid: Whereupon as well the record and proceedings aforesaid, and the decree given in form aforesaid, as the matters aforesaid, by the said Isaac Phillips Junior and William Shipley Junior above for error assigned, being seen, and by the Court of Appeals here fully understood, and mature deliberation thereupon had: for that it appears to the Court of Appeals here that there is no error in the record of proceedings aforesaid, nor in the giving of the decree aforesaid: Therefore it is considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the decree aforesaid given in form aforesaid, be in all things affirmed and stand in full force and effect, the said causes for error above assigned in any wise notwithstanding: And it is further considered by the Court of Appeals here, that the said Richard A Shipley have execution against the said Isaac Phillips Junior and William Shipley Junior as well for his costs and charges aforesaid adjudged unto him in the said Court of Chancery, as also the sum of fifty four dollars and eighty two cents, adjudged unto the said Richard A Shipley by the Court of Appeals here, on his appeal for his costs and charges which he hath sustained by occasion of the delay of the execution of the decree aforesaid, by pretext of the prosecution of the said appeal by the said Isaac Phillips Junior and William Shipley Junior, and upon the premises as aforesaid prosecuted &c.

Test. John Johnson Clk

Emanuel Dadds
vs
Joseph Nicholson

(See page 266.)

Court of Appeals for the Western Shore.
December Term 1852.

This cause coming on to be heard, and no objection having been made to the award returned by the arbitrators appointed by the Court. It is thereupon this eighteenth day of December in the year eighteen hundred and thirty two, adjudged ordered and decreed that the said award be and the same is hereby ratified and confirmed. And in conformity with said award it is further adjudged ordered and decreed that the decree of the Chancellor passed on the twenty eighth day of June in the year eighteen hundred and thirty, be and the same is hereby

reversed with costs to the appellant in this Court, and in the Court of Chancery, and that unless the appellee shall pay to the appellant on or before the first day of January next, the sum of five hundred and thirteen dollars and eighty nine cents current money, with interest thereon from the first day of August in the year eighteen hundred and thirty two until paid and costs as aforesaid, the Injunction heretofore granted in this cause, and made perpetual by the decree of the Chancellor aforesaid shall be dissolved, and the appellant shall thereupon be entitled to have and to hold the land and premises in the record mentioned as a security for the payment of the said sum of money with interest and costs as aforesaid, And the Chancellor is authorised to pass a decree in the usual form appointing a Trustee to make sale of the aforesaid land and premises or so much thereof as may be necessary to pay the aforesaid debt, principal interest and costs, and this cause is hereby remanded to the Court of Chancery with authority to pass such orders as may be necessary from time to time to carry into effect this decree.

Test John Johnson Clk

Memo: This decree is to supersede the Court of Appeals judgment as made upon its proceedings and as recorded on page 266 in this Book.

Christopher Hughes
vs
Elizabeth Young

Be it remembered, that now here on the second Monday in June, being the fourteenth day of the said month in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and thirty, the Chief Judge and Associate Judges of the Sixth Judicial District of Maryland, in pursuance of the directions of the act of Assembly in such case made and provided, transmit to the Court of Appeals here the record of proceedings of a plea which was lately depending before them in the County Court of Baltimore, between Elizabeth Young and Christopher Hughes defendant, and wherein the said County Court gave judgment for the said Elizabeth Young against the said Christopher Hughes, and from which said judgment the said Christopher Hughes appealed to the Court of Appeals here, the tenor of which said record of proceedings is in form following, to wit:

The State of Maryland, Baltimore County, to wit:

At a County Court of the Sixth Judicial District of the State of Maryland, begun and held at the Court House in the City of Baltimore, in and for the County aforesaid on the third Monday in September, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and twenty eight

Present.

The Honorable Stevenson Archer Chief Judge
Charles Wilkinson
Thomas Nell } Esquires Associate Judges.
William Ball Esquire Sheriff. William Gibson Clerk.